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Appendix mucinous cystadenoma mimicking a right adnexal mass

Sağ adneksiyel kitleyi taklit eden apendiks müsinöz kistadenomu

Mehmet Tolga Kafadar¹, Bahadır Kösem², Emine Kirtiş³, Nermin Köşüş³, Aydın Köşüş³

¹Turgut Özal University Faculty of Medicine Department of General Surgery, ²Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ankara, Turkey.

Abstract
Appendix mucocoele is a mass formed by the dilatation of appendix lumen due to abnormal mucinous secretions. It develops as a result of epithelial proliferation, mucinous secretion, and luminal dilatation on the background of mucosal hyperplasia, mucinous cystadenoma, or mucinous cystadenocarcinoma. Appendix mucinous cystadenoma is the most common type that may have different clinical presentations. The preoperative diagnosis remains difficult and the pathology is usually detected during laparotomy. Despite concerns about the rupture risk of appendix mucoceles, laparoscopic surgery has been increasingly used for its treatment. Perforation of a lesion and spread of its contents into abdominal cavity produces a condition known as pseudomyxoma peritonei. In this paper we report a case of a 75-year-old woman who was taken to the operating room to be operated for a right adnexial mass and but ultimately underwent laparoscopic appendectomy after detecting an appendix mucocele in laparoscopic exploration.
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INTRODUCTION
Appendix mucocele is a rare appendiceal lesion characterized by the dilatation of appendiceal lumen by abnormal mucus collection. It lacks a typical clinical course and its preoperative diagnosis is challenging. Thus, it is usually diagnosed coincidentally during an operation performed for other indications¹. Herein, we report a case of a 75-year-old woman with appendix mucinous cystadenoma who was operated with the laparoscopic method with a discussion of the relevant literature.

CASE
A 75-year-old woman presented to the Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic with pain that started from the right lower abdominal quadrant 1 month ago and extended to the right groin region. On physical examination she had no pathological sign except for tenderness in the right lower quadrant. Her laboratory examinations showed the following results: WBC: 7100/mm³, Hb: 12.6 g/dL, CA-125: 6.4 U/mL, CEA: 4.2 ng/mL; all other laboratory tests were normal. An ultrasonographic examination performed by a gynecologist revealed a cystic...
formation measuring 61x34 mm that had a dense content and partial areas of echogenic material in its lumen. In addition, there was also minimal paraovarian free fluid.

Based on these findings, a gynecological surgical team performed a diagnostic laparoscopy and observed bilaterally normal adnexes and uterus. There was, however, a mass presumably of mesenteric origin. These finding prompted surgeons to consult the case with the general surgery department intraoperatively. The general surgery operative team made an abdominal exploration, which spotted a mass with a size of 6x4 cm originating from the appendix at the lateral aspect of the caecum; the mass was considered to be a mucocele.

A laparoscopic appendectomty operation was performed from the same trocar site. The excised piece was removed without being ruptured from the trocar site at the umbilicus (Figure 1). The patient was discharged with full recovery 2 days later. A histopathological examination revealed that the lesion was an appendix mucocele with no invasion of surrounding tissues (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Appendix mucocele is a cystic dilatation of appendix lumen that occurs as a result of mucus collection. Formerly, it used to be considered to originate from luminal obstruction due to fecoliths or inflammation; however, subsequent histopathological studies have revealed that neoplastic changes in appendix mucosa lead to mucocele. These lesions have been histologically classified as mucosal hyperplasia, mucinous cystadenoma, and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma.

It has been reported that appendix mucocele is encountered in 0.2-0.3% of all appendectomy materials. Patients are generally over the age of 50, and lesions tend to appear 4 times more commonly in women than men. Approximately 25-30% of affected patients are asymptomatic, who are coincidentally diagnosed by radiological examinations, during endoscopic procedures, or surgery. In symptomatic patients, the most common pattern of presentation is acute or chronic lower right abdominal quadrant pain. In about half of affected persons a mass is palpable in the right lower abdominal quadrant. There may also be nausea, vomiting, or irregular defecation habits. Our patient was ultimately diagnosed by laparoscopic examination performed for the finding of a right adnexial mass upon the intensification of her pain at the right lower abdominal quadrant that intermittently persisted for 1 month.

It is difficult to make the diagnosis of an appendix mucocele owing to its non-specific presentation. It is usually diagnosed by imaging modalities. On ultrasonography, it appears as an encapsulated, well-demarcated lesion adjacent to caecum, which contains onion skin-like layers and echogenicities in its internal structure. On abdominal tomography it may appear as a round, low-density, thin-walled, encapsulated mass adjacent to caecum. Appendix mucocele may accompany concurrent neoplasias, most commonly colonic neoplasia. Ovarian, cystic, renal, mammarian, and thyroidal neoplasias can also be found. A study reported that appendix mucocele co-occurred with colon adenocarcinoma in 19.5-25.4% of the cases. Therefore, cases with
Appendix mucinous cystadenoma

Appendix mucocele should be thoroughly examined for a simultaneous colonic neoplasm. Although we did not find any sign of a colonic neoplasm in laparoscopic exploration, we recommended the patient a colonoscopic examination to be performed one month later.

Appendix mucocele is surgically treated. Surgical excision can be performed by laparoscopy or laparotomy. It is imperative to avoid manipulations that would cause cyst rupture or leakage of cyst content. An inadvertent cyst rupture may result in pseudomyxoma peritonei. Strikingly, 5-year survival rate of pseudomyxoma peritonei drops to 20% when the underlying pathology is a cystadenocarcinoma. While some authors object to laparoscopic mucocele operation due to associated risk of pseudomyxoma peritonei, some others have advocated that this operation can be successfully performed laparoscopically. Standard appendectomy alone suffices for the treatment of benign mucocele.

In our case, the surgical exploration started by gynecologists with the laparoscopic method was continued and finished with the same method. Mucocele was excised with care without rupturing or causing any leakage of its content into abdominal cavity. As the exact pathological diagnosis was unknown and no sign of the invasion of surrounding tissues was evident, appendectomy alone was performed and the operation finished, with a plan of a future surgery depending on the pathology result.

In conclusion, appendix mucocele has no specific clinical presentation. It should be remembered in differential diagnosis when a mass with atypical appearance is detected by imaging modalities in right iliac fossa, particularly in elderly woman. A careful laparoscopic excision following diagnostic laparoscopy may be a good option. Care should be taken not to spill mucocele content into abdominal cavity. The possibility of a simultaneous colonic neoplasia should always be kept in mind and appropriate investigations should be undertaken.
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