Artificial Neural Networks Can be Used as Alternative Method to Estimate Loss Tooth Root Sizes for Prediction of Dental Implants
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to investigate the feasibility of estimation of canine root length and cervical width by an artificial neural network method with an appropriate setting. We randomly obtained 120 representative samples of routine panoramic radiographs by computer tomography (CT). Of 120 samples, 96 (80%) were used in training phase and 24 (20%) were used in test phase after a randomized selection. The intertuberal length (IL) of maxilla and canine root length (RL) and canine root cervical width (CW) of the right canine tooth was measured and was entered to a datum file. According to the results, the method is convenient with this purpose. The mean square error values are lied between 2% and 4.4% for the estimations. This shows that the ANN method is an alternative method for the canine root length and cervical width. The ANN software and system, which is a cost-effective tool to purchase, can be adapted to dental implant surgery after further study and optimization of software settings, allowing for the prediction of the size and width of implants in implant surgery.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Implant rehabilitation of missing teeth has considerably widened the treatment options for both patients and clinicians after recent advances in implant design, materials, and techniques. Preference of implants has increased dramatically in the past two decades and a continuing increase is expected in the future. Success of dental implants depends on how it restores the function of the teeth just like...
original one such as chewing, biting, aesthetics and other oral functions [1]. Rehabilitation of severely resorbed jaws with dental implants remains a surgical and prosthetic challenge for clinicians [2].

Implant osseointegration is the result of a dynamic interactive process of bone formation and bone resorption, developed concomitantly. Taking into account the expected increase in the aged populations, osseointegration around implants might be adversely affected in accordance the compromised bone repairing mechanisms in this age group. This factor increases the importance of correct choose of appropriate size of implant [3]. The failure of dental implants is due not only to biological factors, such as unsuccessful osseointegration or the presence of periimplantitis, but they also result from technical complications that involve implant body/fixture fracture, abutment screw fracture, abutment fracture, fractured prosthesis, etc.

Dental implants are useful tools and represent a widely spread technique for oral rehabilitation. Their long standingness is highly influenced by the mechanical and geometrical properties of the surrounding osseous tissue in which they are placed. In some unsuccessful cases though, the dental implant is exposed to masticatory forces and other functional acts, and osseous tissue may resorb near its vicinity, leading to the dental implants loss.

Despite the high success rates in the vast number of pertinent literature, early or late implant failures are still encountered in prosthodontics [4] These events make the correct choose of appropriate size and design of the implant extremely crucial to improve the outcome implant placement. The outcome of implant treatment is often maximized when implants are placed in dense bone with an appropriate size of implant [5].

The method of artificial neural network (ANN) has been used in many fields including dentistry. [6,7] Several examples can be given as diagnosing artificial dental caries using images from a charged coupled device [8], supporting the clinical decision making on the maxillary implant restoration for the patient with edentulous maxilla [9], improving the radiographic diagnosis of proximal caries [10], classifying patients into aggressive periodontitis or chronic periodontitis patients class [11] and prediction of tooth surface loss in individuals without the need to conduct clinical examinations [12].

ANN is a mathematical model that mimics the human brain function. It consists of neurons, which are processing units. The neurons are connected to each other by weights. An advantage of the method is no relationship is needed between the input and output data belonging to the problem. Method produces outputs according to the given input variables. After the training of the ANN with known data and construction of the network properly, one can confidently predict outputs on unknown data.

In this present study, five different variables from 120 volunteers have been used as inputs of the ANN. The aim of this study is to develop an ANN in order to obtain tooth root size according to the given inputs. After several trying, it was seen that the ANN method is convenient for this task within reasonably error levels.

In prosthodontics, deciding on the appropriate size of implants, dental surgeons need to depend on clinical experiences and the literature is still not conclusive concerning the choice of best size of implant. For the selection of proper size of implant, the most reliable parameters are the estimated width and length of lost tooth root. This reduces the chance of choosing inappropriately larger or smaller implants and improves the outcome of implant application.

2. MATERIAL and METHODS

Materials
In this study, we used the dental database of our outpatient service of our faculty. We randomly obtained 120 representative samples of routine panoramic radiographs by Orthopantomograph OP300 Maxio’s CT software (Figure 1). Of 120 samples, 96 (80%) were used in training phase and 24 (20%) were used in test phase after a randomized selection. Inclusion criteria were the following: panoramic radiographs of patients with an age range of 20-30 years and with normal canine teeth, no dental patient records related to any bone disorder that could affect the jaw bones. After collection of study panoramic radiographs, we measured intertuberal length (IL) of maxilla and canine root length (RL) and canine root cervical width (CW) of the right canine tooth, and in addition, the canine length (CL) as whole canine tooth length, and entered their values to an datum file.

**Figure 1.** Measurements of intertuberal length (108.0 mm) of maxilla and canine root length (15.33 mm) and canine root cervical width (4.8 mm) of the right canine tooth in a representative Computer Tomography Panoramic radiograph.

**Method**

Artificial neural network (ANN) is a mathematical model [13]. It mimics human brain functionality. In this study, layered feed forward ANN as a universal function approximate [14] is used for estimating tooth root size. ANN has mainly three layers named as input, hidden and output layers. In these layers there are neurons, which are main processing units. Each neuron is connected to the other neurons in the next layer by adaptive synaptic weights. The task of the ANN is determining weights values for solving the problem. In other words, the task of the ANN is to give outputs as close as to the desired output values. The neurons in the input layer receive the data from environment and transmit via weights to the hidden layer. After activating and summing the values in each neuron, they are transmitted to the outputs. In this study, the neurons in the hidden and output layer have tangent hyperbolic (Eq. (1)) and linear activation function, respectively.

\[
\tanh x = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}
\]  

(1)
In order to estimate the RL and CW, we used 120 sample dental data including age and gender of patients and the IL, CL, RL and CW values. We have totally 4 input values as age, gender, IL and CL. Therefore, one input layer with four neurons, one hidden layer with four neurons (h = 4) and one output layer with two neurons (4-4-2) ANN architecture has been used for accurately estimation of RL and CW values (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** The 4-4-2 ANN topology for estimation of the canine root length (18.3 mm) and canine root cervical width (4.5 mm) of the right canine tooth

The total numbers of adjustable weights \( w \) without bias were 24 according to Eq.2 given by

\[
w = p \times h + r \times h \quad (2)
\]

Where \( p, h \) and \( r \) are the neuron numbers in input, hidden and output layers. There is no a rule for determining the number of hidden layers and neurons. But generally single hidden layer is enough. The number of neurons in this layer depends on the problem nature. In this study, after several trials, hidden neuron number has been finally accepted as 4 giving the best results for the problem. An ANN software NeuroSolutions v6.02 [15] was used for the calculations. All data were divided into two parts. One part is for the training stage (80% of all data) and the rest is for the test stage (20% of all data). For the training stage, a back-propagation algorithm with Levenberg–Marquardt [16,17] was used. By appropriate modifications, ANN modifies its all weights until an acceptable error level between estimated and desired (measured) outputs. The difference between these outputs was calculated by mean square error (MSE) given by:

\[
MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(d-e)^2}{N} 
\]

Where \( N \) is the number of training or test data, \( d \) and \( e \) are the desired and ANN estimated outputs, respectively. After obtaining the final weights by training of the ANN, the performance of the ANN has been tested on the training data. After seeing the success of the method, the constructed ANN has been tested on the test data, which has not been seen before by the ANN and has not been used in the
training stage. If the estimations of the test data are sufficiently good, it has been confidently declared that ANN generalized the data.

3. RESULTS

We have used all 4 input parameters in the beginning of the work. These inputs are IL, CL, gender and age informations of the volunteers. In order to construct ANN for this problem, we have used only training data set for this purpose. After training of the ANN, we have tested constructed structure on the training data. Since it is used in this stage, this data is known by the ANN. We have estimated the tooth root size belonging to 96 volunteers data in training group. The measured and ANN estimated sizes (RL and CW) have been shown in Figure 3. As can be clearly seen in the figure that, the two results are close to each other. The MSE values are 0.64 mm for RL and 0.49 mm for CW. If we take average RL and CW values as 17.6 mm and 6.65 mm, respectively, the error values are in the order of 3.6% and 7.4%.

![Figure 3. Measured and estimated RL and CW of in train stage.](image)

In order to generalize the constructed ANN, it must be tested on the test data of 24 volunteers. This data has not been seen in the training stage. So, it is new for the ANN. The difference between measured and ANN estimated sizes have been shown in Figure 4. It is clear in the figure that, the ANN estimated results are very close to measured tooth root sizes (RL and CW). The maximum difference is about 1 and 1.5 mm for RL and CW, respectively. The MSE values are 0.34 mm and 0.29 mm for RL and CW, respectively. If we take average RL and CW values as 17.3 mm and 6.57 mm, the error values are in the order of 2.0% and 4.4%, respectively. The maximum and minimum absolutely errors are 0.0 and 2.0 mm for train data. For the test data, these values are between 0.1 and 1.0 mm for RL, 0.0 and 1.3 for CW.
Figure 4. Difference between measured and estimated RL and CW for specimens aged between 20 and 28 in test stage.

Table 1. The inputs and output of the ANN for the estimation of canine root length (RL) (mm) and cervical width (CW) (mm) in a sample data set (n=12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Intertuberal length</th>
<th>Canine length</th>
<th>RL (measured)</th>
<th>RL (estimated)</th>
<th>RL (difference)</th>
<th>CW (measured)</th>
<th>CW (estimated)</th>
<th>CW (difference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>130.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>131.9</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we used an ANN software to estimate the implant length and width of patients. We choose canine tooth as a representative of all the teeth and with an appropriate setting of the software, we obtained trained weights of neurons. Later we tested these weight settings for the estimation of canine root length and width of a group of patients. We found that after training procedure with an adequate and well-selected data, this setup can be used successfully for the estimation of canine root length and width of patients. We think that after a training procedure for all teeth, an ANN software can be used in a clinical study. After an appropriate setting, commercial ANN software can be used to predict implant length and width after entering the age, gender, IL, and CL parameters.

We have used different group of input variables for the ANN in order to see the effect of the individual input parameters on the results. In one of these, we have used gender, CL and age as inputs of the ANN by excluding IL. The MSE values for training and test data sets are 0.74 and 0.35 mm for the RL and 0.62 and 0.61 mm for the CW, respectively. This result shows that the IL is important for determining the root sizes. Due to the fact that it is width information of the chin, it is affect the CW results with larger impact than RL. We have taken another input group of the ANN by considering IL and excluding CL. We have used gender, IL and age as inputs. According to the result, we have estimated the root sizes with MSE values as 3.9 and 4.9 for RL in training and test data sets, respectively. For the test data these values are 0.52 and 0.46 for CW in training and test data set, respectively. Very large increase in MSE values of RL indicates that the CL is highly correlated with the RL value. Therefore, CL must be in among the input parameters. Hence, we have confidently suggest that the CL is very important in determining the root sizes especially in RL. In another trying we have considered IL, CL and age as inputs of the ANN by excluding gender information. We have obtained MSE values for training and test data as 0.75 and 0.47 for RL and 0.53 and 0.36 for CW, respectively. These error levels are not so bad compared to complete input set error values. We can conclude that the gender information would be better for estimating the root sizes. Similarly, we have taken inputs of ANN as gender, IL and CL. In this last trying we have excluded age information. The obtained MSE values show that the age information is also important for determining root size. The error values are 0.72 and 0.49 for RL and 0.52 and 0.38 for CW in training and test data sets, respectively.

Dental implant techniques are one of the important research topic in the past few years and is expected to expand in the future due to the recent growth of the global market for dental implants and the rising in the demand for cosmetic dentistry [18]. During planning of implant treatment, several clinical factors need to be considered, including chose of implant with appropriate size. In a recent review of Cochrane, the authors reviewed the clinical outcomes of dental implants those have different materials, shapes, and different surface characteristics. Considering clinical studies with reliably findings, they concluded that there was no clinical evidence showing that any implant with particular characteristics provides a good outcome with a long-term success. Taking into account current clinical knowledge, we need to give importance to the selection of implant with proper width and length in addition to searching for the best available implant type [19].

Two-dimensional radiographs provide satisfactory data during decision-making for appropriate determination of implant size [20,30]. Panoramic images provide an overview of the jaws and are usually considered adequate in the initial evaluation of the implant site [23], although they not provide diagnostic information in some areas of jaws such as the bucco-lingual aspect of the alveolar bone [21]. Knowledge of anatomic features like the location of the mandibular canal, the maxillary sinuses and the nasal cavity, as well as of the angulation and bone volume of the alveolar canal is a prerequisite.
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for appropriate implant treatment planning [21, 23, 31]. Compared with cone-beam computed
tomography (CBCT), PR has some advantages such as lower dose, fast operation, low cost, and larger
popularity [24]. In recent years, the CBCT has increasingly used to choose appropriate size implant for
a successful treatment planning, although there is no consensus about it indication because of
important considerations such as the added cost, potential inconvenience of obtaining a scan, and
amount of radiation exposure in every imaging [20, 26-29].

Many authors concluded that CBCT with current specifications need to be used a screening tool if
routine panoramic radiographs did not provide the required information for the dental surgeon [20, 32-
34]. Among the important indications of CBCT, there are needs for better exposure of surgical site for
quilled surgery, reducing the risk of vital structures, planning for bone grafting [20, 35, 36]. Dau et
al. [25] compared the preference of panoramic radiography and CBCT in planning of dental implant
procedures by clinicians with different educational background. They noted that the majority of
participants rated an additional CBCT as required (14.0%) or reasonable (56.1%). The authors
concluded that especially in the anterior and posterior maxillary regions, the participants preferred an
additional CBCT for planning of dental implant procedures.

In a recent study [20], the implant size and need for bone grafting were determined cone-beam
computed tomography (CBCT) in addition the panoramic radiograph and clinical examination. After
assessing the contribution of CBCT to their management, the authors found that two methods
accurately predict implant width and length to within 1.5 mm of the implant actually placed in 100%
and 95% of cases, respectively. The authors concluded that in accordance with technological
improvements reducing radiation dose, CBCT has a merit for an established place in implantology
[20,36]. Correa et al [21]. Conducted a study to assess the clinical value of dental implant size
determined with digital and CBCT-generated panoramic images and CBCT cross-sectional images.
The concluded that the selected implant size can differed when planned on panoramic or cross-section
CBCT images, and that In most cases, implant size measured in cross-section images was narrower
and shorter than implant size measured in a panoramic image or CBCT-based panoramic view.

After a review of current literature on the imaging modalities for the planning of implant size, it can be
seen that there is considerably variation in preference of digital panoramic radiographs and CBCT-
based images regarding indications and in the acceptance of their usage regarding information
obtained by their evaluation. We think that although with the pace of current work on the development
ad improvement of these imaging modalities, in the future, there will be considerable increase their
accuracy to help dental surgeons for the placement of appropriate implants in especially difficult cases
with jawbone problems. To obtain best outcome after dental implant placement, we need to consider
other factor in addition to the criterion as the adequacy of bone in the implantation site. Our clinical
experience suggests that there may be other factors playing some roles in the complex relationship of
bone with dental implant. We need to consider that the lost tooth has a root that is formed by several
effects related to chewing forces and nearby bone tissue. The findings of our study support that with
well-trained ANN software; the size of an implant can be determined with the help of several
jawbones and tooth size parameters. We think that the better we predict the implant size for the loss
tooth according to the anatomic structure, the more success we can achieve in implant surgery

An ANN software can be easily adapted according to the need so the implant surgery after proper
setting and training procedures that can be easily performed by an experienced person and it can have
a place in implant surgery after further expanded studies and optimization of software setting.
According to the ANN results, the RL values are better predicted with less error than CW values.
Thus, we can conclude that the RL value is in a stronger relationship with the input parameters than CW value. This may be related to the inclusion of length related data as input in ANN system.
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