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Association of calcifications at aortic arch with calcifications in different segments of carotid arteries

Arkus aortadaki kalsifikasyonlarının karotis arterin farklı segmentlerindeki kalsifikasyonlarla ilişkisi
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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study is to evaluate the association of calcifications at aortic arch with different segments of carotid arteries.

Material and Methods: Carotid computed tomography (CT) angiography examinations of 134 patients, which were performed between January 2013 and February 2015, were retrospectively evaluated. Calcifications at aortic arch, orifice of brachiocephalic trunk, orifice of common and internal carotid arteries, petrous and cavernous/ophthalmic segments of internal carotid arteries were scored.

Results: A statistically significant relationship was detected between calcification scores of aortic arch and brachiocephalic trunk orifice, left common carotid artery orifice, both internal carotid artery orifice, both internal carotid artery cavernous/ophthalmic segments. There was a statistically significant correlation between the age and total calcification scores. However, there was not a relationship between the calcification scores of aortic arch and right common carotid artery orifice, aortic arch and both internal carotid artery petrous segments.

Conclusion: Aortic arch calcifications detected at routine chest CT may be useful to predict the calcification scores of internal carotid artery segments and total carotid calcification score.
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INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is a multifactorial disease and an important risk factor for cerebrovascular stroke¹,². Following intimal accumulation of inflammatory cells, formation of intimal fatty streaks and atherosclerotic plaques are observed. In the advanced stage of atherosclerosis, calcification occurs in the atherosclerotic plaques³,⁴. Arterial calcification is a frequent finding in elderly
population and accepted as a marker of atherosclerosis.

Aortic arch, orifices of major aortic arch branches and internal carotid artery (ICA) orifices are the prominent segments where calcifications concentrate. CT angiography (CTA) is the foremost noninvasive imaging modality that can assess the calcifications of aortic arch and whole carotid system. Aortic arch calcifications can be detected both on routine chest X-rays and CT examinations.

In a population-based cohort study, aortic arch calcification was present in 1.9% of men and 2.6% of women. The clinical importance of aortic arch calcifications has been reported in different studies. Aortic arch calcifications detectable on chest X-rays were reported as a strong independent predictor of cardiovascular events. The presence of atherosclerotic plaques in the proximal aorta, those ≥4 mm thick were reported in association with ischemic stroke. On chest CT examinations, aortic arch is barely visible and it is easy to detect the calcifications located there. May the assessment of the calcified plaques with a simple scoring system at the aortic arch be a marker to predict the calcification in the other segments of carotid artery? The aim of this study is to detect the association of calcifications at aortic arch with different segments of carotid arteries at CTA examinations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The radiologic examinations and medical records of the patients, who underwent carotid CTA examination between January 2013 and February 2015 in our clinic, were retrospectively evaluated. CTA examinations were performed with different indications such as the diagnosis of stenosis, aneurysms or vascular malformations. In previous reports, symptomatic carotid atherosclerotic plaques have been reported even at the age of 35 years. Therefore, we considered the lower limit of participation as 35 years.

Patients with bilateral or unilateral carotid stents and carotid occlusions, vascular abnormalities such as carotid hypoplasia and inadequate examinations due to artifacts (such as patient movement, aneurysm coils) were excluded. The patients without information about major vascular risk factors (hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus) in the hospital archive system were also excluded. Hypertension and diabetes mellitus were defined as present if there was a diagnosis or the use of antihypertensive / diabetic medications. Hyperlipidemia was accepted as present if the level of fasting triglyceride (≥150 mg/dL) or total cholesterol (≥200 mg/dL) were elevated or there was a data of lipid lowering medication. Approval for the study was obtained from the ethical committee of our institute (22.10.2015, 2015/318).

CTA imaging protocol

CTA examinations were performed with a 64-slice CT scanner (Toshiba Aquilion 64, Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan). Eighty cc nonionic contrast medium was administered via an antecubital vein. Bolus tracking technique was used. Scanning was initiated when the contrast attenuation in the aortic arch reached 100 Hounsfield units. The scanning range was from the ascending aorta to the vertex. CT parameters were as follows: tube voltage, 120 kVP; effective mAs, 220; slice thickness, 0.5 mm. "Multiplanar reformatted reconstruction (MPR)", "maximum intensity projection (MIP)" images were obtained from axial images.

Calcification detection and scoring

The examinations were evaluated by two experienced radiologists in consensus. Coronal and axial MIP CTA examinations were evaluated to score the calcifications at aortic arch, orifice of common carotid artery (CCA) and ICA, petrous and cavernous/ophthalmic segments of ICA. Aortic arch, orifice of brachiocephalic trunk and left CCA were evaluated in a different session blinded to the other segments. There was one week interval between the measurements of aortic arch and ICA. ICA orifice was defined as the proximal 3 cm-segment of ICA plus the distal 2 cm-segment of CCA. Aortic arch was accepted as the segment between ascending and descending aorta. The orifices of main branches were not included in the aortic arch measurements.

Calcifications were scored according to a five-point grading scale (0-no calcification, 1-calcification like a point, 2-thin continuous or thick discontinuous calcification, 3-thick calcification longer than 3mm, and 4-calcification looks like double tracts) as used in the literature.
Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 statistical software package. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages, whereas continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation and as median and minimum-maximum where appropriate. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables between the groups. The normality of distribution for continuous variables was confirmed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For non-normal distributed data, Kruskal Wallis test was used to compare more than two groups. Bonferroni adjusted Mann Whitney U test was used for multiple comparisons of groups. To evaluate the correlations between measurements, Pearson Correlation Coefficient was used. The statistical level of significance for all tests was considered to be 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 134 patients were evaluated (51 women and 83 men; 35-96 years old, mean age 65.7 ± 12.3 years). There were no calcifications at the patients under age of 40 years; the eldest patient without calcification was 70 years old. There were 19 patients with a total calcification score of 0. Table 1 shows the distribution of patients regarding the calcification scores of each segment. A statistically significant relationship was detected between calcification scores of aortic arch and brachiocephalic trunk orifice (p<0.001), aortic arch and left common carotid artery orifice (p<0.001), aortic arch and both ICA orifices (p<0.001 at right and p<0.001 at left), aortic arch and both ICA cavernous/ophthalmic segments (p<0.001 at right and p<0.001 at left).

However, there was not a relationship between the calcification scores of aortic arch and right common carotid artery orifice (p=0.372), aortic arch and both ICA petrous segments (p=0.132 at right and p=0.277 at left). Total calcification scores were evaluated with the sum of calcification scores for each patient. There was a statistically significant correlation between the age and total calcification scores of the patients (Figure 2) (r=0.568, p<0.001). The distribution of aortic arch calcification scores with respect to total calcification scores of the patients is presented in Table 2.

Table 1. The distribution of patients regarding the calcification scores of each segment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
<td>26 (19.4%)</td>
<td>10 (7.5%)</td>
<td>18 (13.4%)</td>
<td>73 (54.5%)</td>
<td>7 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiocephalic orifice</td>
<td>79 (59%)</td>
<td>16 (11.9%)</td>
<td>18 (13.4%)</td>
<td>21 (15.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R CCA orifice</td>
<td>118 (88.1%)</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
<td>10 (7.5%)</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ICA orifice</td>
<td>47 (35.1%)</td>
<td>9 (6.7%)</td>
<td>24 (17.9%)</td>
<td>42 (31.3%)</td>
<td>12 (8.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ICA petrous</td>
<td>107 (79.8%)</td>
<td>4 (3.0%)</td>
<td>8 (6.0%)</td>
<td>13 (9.7%)</td>
<td>2 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ICA cavernous/ophthalmic</td>
<td>31 (23.1%)</td>
<td>8 (6.0%)</td>
<td>20 (14.9%)</td>
<td>46 (34.3%)</td>
<td>29 (21.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L CCA orifice</td>
<td>79 (59.0%)</td>
<td>12 (8.9%)</td>
<td>20 (14.9%)</td>
<td>21 (15.7%)</td>
<td>2 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L ICA orifice</td>
<td>45 (33.6%)</td>
<td>12 (8.9%)</td>
<td>29 (21.6%)</td>
<td>39 (29.1%)</td>
<td>9 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L ICA petrous</td>
<td>104 (77.6%)</td>
<td>6 (4.5%)</td>
<td>10 (7.5%)</td>
<td>11 (8.2%)</td>
<td>3 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L ICA cavernous/ophthalmic</td>
<td>26 (19.4%)</td>
<td>10 (7.5%)</td>
<td>27 (20.1%)</td>
<td>43 (32.1%)</td>
<td>28 (20.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCA: Common carotid artery, ICA: Internal carotid artery, N: Number of patients, R: Right, L: Left.

Figure 1. Calcification examples of five-point grading system at internal carotid artery origins on coronal maximum intensity projection images: (a) 0-no calcification, (b) 1-calcification like a point, (c) 2-thin continuous or thick discontinuous calcification, (d) 3-thick calcification longer than 3 mm and (e) 4-calcification looks like double tracts.
Aortic arch calcification scores were compared in pairs with respect to total calcification scores. A statistically significant difference was detected between aortic arch calcification score pairs of 0 and 3 (p=0.000), 0 and 4 (p=0.0001), 1 and 3 (p=0.005), 1 and 4 (p=0.002), 2 and 3 (p=0.0001), 2 and 4 (p=0.001).

However, there was not a statistically significant difference between aortic arch calcification score pairs of 0 and 1 (p=0.459), 0 and 2 (p=0.074), 1 and 2 (p=1.000), 3 and 4 (p=1.000). The mean total carotid calcification scores of the patients with aortic arch calcification scores of 3 and 4 were higher than 0, 1 and 2.

### Table 2. The distribution of aortic arch calcification scores with respect to total calcification scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aortic Arch Calcification Score</th>
<th>Mean ±SD</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.04 ±2.088</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.80 ±5.653</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.78 ±4.166</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.21 ±5.520</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.29 ±3.638</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13.10 ±8.668</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation, N: Number of patients.

### Figure 2. The relationship between age and total calcification score (r=0.568, p<0.001).

### Table 3. The statistical significant level of vascular risk factors with respect to total calcification scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular Risk Factors</th>
<th>Mean Total Calcification Score (±SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>+ 14.00 (8.24)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 12.02 (9.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>+ 15.79 (7.74)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 11.04 (8.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>+ 15.21 (8.69)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 11.53 (8.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation

Hypertension, diabetes mellitus and hyperlipidemia were detected in 54.5%, 43.3% and 42.5% of the patients respectively. The statistical significant level of vascular risk factors with respect to total calcification scores is shown in Table 3.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we found a significant relationship between the calcification scores of aortic arch, bilateral ICA orifices and ICA cavernous/ophthalmic segments. Aortic arch calcifications also showed a significant relationship with brachiocephalic trunk orifice and left CCA orifice calcifications. Association of mural calcifications at different vessels has been investigated in the literature. Odink et al. found a moderate correlation between calcifications in the
coronary arteries, aortic arch and extracranial carotid arteries, by using volume assessment of calcifications. In that study, proximal 1 cm of the major vessels originating from the aortic arch has been included to the aortic arch different from our study. We also used a simple grading system for the calcifications rather than volume assessment. Another study using 4 grade simple scale system on CTA images demonstrated a higher correlation between the calcification scores of the ICA origins and the orifices of large vessels originating from the aortic arch than between the ICA origins and the aortic arch. Adar et al reported aortic arch calcification as a strong and independent predictor of coronary artery calcification.

The extent of vascular calcification has been reported to be related with total burden of atherosclerosis. We calculated total calcification scores in order to evaluate the relationship of aortic arch calcification scores with the extent of calcification. The mean total carotid calcification scores of the patients with a calcification score of 3 and 4 at aortic arch, were statistically higher than 0, 1 and 2 scores. According to our results, patients with a higher calcification score at aortic arch have a higher calcification burden at carotid arteries. As a result, calcifications detected at aortic arch may allow us to predict the extent of calcification at carotid arteries.

Vascular calcification is a part of the atherosclerotic process and occurs in 80 to 90% of atherom plaques. The relationship of calcifications at aortic arch and at different segments of carotid arteries with cerebrovascular events has been previously reported in the literature. However, the role of calcifications in ischemic events is controversial in the literature. Plaque calcification has been demonstrated as a marker of regional plaque stability. Saba et al. pointed out that calcium provides stabilization of the carotid plaques and CT-detectable brain lesions were found with a lower incidence with calcified carotid plaques when compared with other types of plaques. On the other hand, Nandalur et al. reported that overall cervical calcium load may be valuable considering the occurrence of ischemic symptoms. Hong et al. reported that carotid siphon calcification was correlated with the existence of lacunar infarction and the degree of carotid siphon calcification may be useful to predict the probability of lacunar infarction. Aortic arch calcifications detected on chest X-rays were reported as useful in the classification of risks for vascular diseases and a strong independent predictor of cardiovascular events. Classification of risks with assessment of aortic arch calcification may ensure the management of atherosclerotic disease. Iribarren et al reported that aortic arch calcification was independently related to coronary heart disease risk.

CTA has an important role in the diagnosis of carotid artery diseases and provides non-invasive evaluation of calcifications. However CTA is not a suitable imaging modality for screening because of radiation exposure. Additionally, the classification of vascular calcifications with a simple 4 or 5 grading system is useful only for evaluating short segments of major vessels. Therefore, the relationships that we investigated between aortic arch and relatively short segments of carotid arteries may be beneficial at chest CT in our daily practice.

Our study has some limitations. First of all this is a retrospective analysis. The other limitations are small number of patient population that does not reflect the general population and semi-quantitative evaluation of calcifications. We also could not evaluate the degree of statistical relationships between calcification scores, because of semi-quantitative evaluation. A study with a larger population and volumetric measurement of calcifications is needed.

As a result, our study showed that total carotid calcification score is higher at the patients with higher calcification scores of aortic arch. Therefore, aortic arch calcifications detected with routine chest CT may be helpful to predict the extent of calcification at carotid arteries.
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