Influence of Some Cladonia Lichens on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria and Copper Reducing Antioxidant Capacity Activities
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Abstract: Microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi lead many diseases in plants. A great deal of pathogenic microorganisms has gained resistance against frequently utilized antibiotics and synthetic fungicides. Lichens are symbiotic associations between a fungus, an algae or a cyanobacterium. Lichens and their metabolites are demonstrated to be encouraging alternatives to synthetic chemicals. The aim of this study was to reveal the antibacterial effect of ethyl acetate extracts of Cladonia stellaris, Cladonia pyxidata and Cladonia furcata lichen species. Extracts were obtained by Soxhlet extraction. Whereas antibacterial activity was determined by the disc diffusion method, antioxidant activity was determined by copper reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) method. The best antibacterial activity was found in C. stellaris extract (25 mm), which was stronger than the positive control antibiotics (ampicillin and tetracycline) against Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae and Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora. The CUPRAC activity was increased in the following order: C. furcata>C. pyxidata>C. stellaris. Further studies are needed on isolation of active constituents from lichen extracts and their biological activity studies are to be worked out.

Keywords: Lichen, Antibiotic, Antioxidant activity
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1. INTRODUCTION

Plants are subject to diseases by bacteria, fungi and viruses that exist in their surroundings and in soil. Plant diseases gave rise to substantial loss of crop yield [1]. For example, *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp.*michiganensis* cause bacterial cancer of tomato [2]. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.*syringae* cause bacterial cancer in cherry, apricot, peach and plum trees [3]. Moreover, *Erwinia carotovora* subsp.*carotovora* infects plants by bacterial soft rot, leaf chlorosis and necrosis [4]. Early blight created by *Alternaria solani* is the most widespread and devastating disease of tomato, which leads substantial decrease in the quantity and quality of tomato [5].

*Xanthomonas vesicatoria* cause bacterial spot; *Clavibacter michiganensis* leads bacterial cancer, *Pseudomonas corrugate* cause bacterial pith necrosis, *Ralstonia solanacearum* cause bacterial built and *Agrobacterium tumefasensis* cause crown gall disease [6].

Nowadays quick and efficient controlling of plant diseases which cause microbial contaminations in some agricultural commodities is mostly accomplished by utilize of synthetic pesticides. On the other hand, the continuous and random enforcement of these chemical pesticides has lead health threats in animals and humans due to their toxicity. In recent years, many synthetic pesticides have been banned in worldwide because of their undesired properties such as high toxicity and long degradation duration. In developing countries, they are still being utilized in spite of their detrimental impacts. Many pathogenic bacteria and insect pests have gained resistance against chemical pesticides. This situation severely obstructs the controlling of diseases of crops and agricultural products [7].

The utilize of pesticides for crop protection increased based on a growing world population and the requirement for more food supplies. On the other hand, bioaccumulation through the food chain can create a risk to mammals because pesticides have many negative effects. Some pesticides sprayed on crops will remain in farmland, but some of them will mix the surrounding soil, water, and air. pesticides might remain in the environment for many years and may be transported over a long distance [8]. Keeping in mind hazardous effects of synthetic pesticides, there is an urgent demand to find an alternative for management of pathogenic microorganisms. Plant derived secondary metabolites, which have defensive function may be utilized for the controlling of diseases. Disease controlling through plant extracts has been recorded by different researchers in different crops [9].

Lichens are symbiotic associations between an algae, a fungi or cyanobacterium. Lichens have been used in different industries such as perfume, pharmacy and dye. Moreover, they used as traditional medicines in different parts of world [10]. Moreover, there are various studies about usage of lichens as antimicrobial agent against phytopathogenic microorganism [11, 13].

Free radicals are formed by physiological processes in the body or external sources like smoking and excessive sunlight exposure. Physiological processes are not detrimental, but the body couldn’t cope with excessive free radical formation so this leads to immune system and other illnesses [14]. Free radicals also leads oxidative deterioration. Oxidative deterioration decrease the nutritional quality and safety of foods because of creation of secondary and toxic compounds. Antioxidant is a method which also increasing the shelf life of foods [15].

One of the antioxidant activity method is copper reducing antioxidant capacity. The CUPRAC assay was utilized to investigate the capacity of the antioxidants in the extracts to reduce cupric copper to the cuprous form. CUPRAC test has many advantages such as applicability to both hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidants; the redox reaction giving rise to a colored chelate of Cu(I)-neocuproine is relatively insensitive to factors, i.e., air, sunlight, and pH [16].
The current study aims the antibacterial activity of three Cladonia lichen species namely Cladonia stellaris, Cladonia pyxidata and Cladonia furcata and copper reducing antioxidant capacity activity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Collecting the Lichen Samples and Extraction

The samples were collected from the following localities in 2014:

- **C. stellaris**: Trabzon, Araklı, near Uzuntarla, 2390 m, on soil.
- **C. pyxidata**: Trabzon, Araklı, Kızılıkaya plateau, 2170 m, on soil.
- **C. furcata**: Giresun, south slopes of Giresun castle, 130 m, on soil.

These samples were dried at room temperature and authenticated by utilizing Smith et al. [17]. Voucher specimens C. furcata (Herbarium no: 6393), C. pyxidata (Herbarium no: 6394) and C. stellaris (Herbarium no: 6395) are kept at the herbarium of the Biology Department, Faculty of Science and Arts, Giresun University, Giresun, Turkey.

Biological activities of the studied lichen species were given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lichen</th>
<th>Traditional Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Cladonia stellaris</em></td>
<td>Treating intestinal parasites [18], Antimicrobial [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cladonia pyxidata</em></td>
<td>Astringent and Febrifugal, Antibiotic, Lists in the Pharmacopoeia Universalis of 1846 [20].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cladonia furcata</em></td>
<td>Polysaccharides isolated from C. furcata were shown to induce cell death (apoptosis) in human leukemia K562 cells [20]. Furthermore, C. furcata polysaccharides decreased the activity of telomerase [21].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lichens dried on laboratory and then they were grounded into powder. 30 g lichen was extracted with 300 ml ethanol, ethyl acetate with a Soxhlet extractor. The extracts were filtered using Whatman No. 1 filter paper and then the solvents were evaporated in a vacuum at 40°C using a rotary evaporator. Each extract stored at 4°C before analysis [22].

2.2. Bacterial Strains

Ten bacterial strains were used to assess the antibacterial effect of the extracts. *Pseudomonas vesicularis*, *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis*, *Pseudomonas cichorii*, *Pseudomonas savastoni* pv. *fraxinus*, *Xanthomonas axanopodis* pv. *malvacearum*, *Chrysobacterium indoltheicum*, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*, *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*, *Xanthomonas phaseoli* and *Xanthomonas hortanum* pv. *pelargonii* isolates were obtained from Assoc. Prof. Dr. Recep KOTAN, Faculty of Agriculture, Plant Production Department, Atatürk University.

2.3. Determination of Antibacterial Impact

The antibacterial activity of plant extracts was performed by using disc diffusion method [23]. Each lichen extract was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 20 mg/ml concentration. Dissolved extracts were sterilized by using 0.45 μm pore sized filter. Inhibition zones of the lichens were compared with standard antibiotics (ampicillin and tetracycline). The sterilized nutrient agar medium was poured into petri dishes and was allowed to solidify. The turbidity of bacterial suspensions was compared with 0.5 McFarland standard, then, the bacterial suspension inoculated into Mueller Hinton agar plates. Discs were put (5 mm diameter) on the agar surface and discs were filled with 25 μL C. stellaris extract, 25 μL C. pyxidata extract, 25 μL C. furcata extract and 25 μL DMSO, separately. The inoculated plates were left in the refrigerator for one hour, then plates were incubated at 37°C overnight [24]. Clear
inhibition zones around the discs indicated the presence of antibacterial activity. Diameter of inhibition zones was measured in millimeters.

2.4. CUPRAC Assay: CUPRAC test was employed by the method of Özyürek et al. (2009). 0.5 ml extract (prepared in 50-200 µg/ml), 1.0 ml CuCl₂ solution (1x10⁻³), 1.0 ml neocuproine solution (7.5x10⁻³ M) and 1.0 ml ammonium acetate buffer (1.0 M, pH: 7.0) were mixed in a test tube. Then, the tube was vortexed and stored in a dark place for 30 min. absorbance was read at 450 nm. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as standard antioxidant agent [25].

3. RESULTS

The effects on the bacteria of the lichens are summarized in Table 2 and Inhibition zones created by the tested lichen extracts were shown in Fig 1. The data obtained from the study revealed that the lichen extracts exhibited antibacterial activities at variable degrees against tested bacteria, with inhibition zones varying from 6-25 mm. *P. vesicularis* and *C. michiganensis subsp. michiganensis* were resistant to all the lichen extracts investigated in this work. The best antibacterial activity was found in *C. stellaris* extract (25 mm), which was stronger than the positive control antibiotics (ampicillin and tetracycline) against *P. syringae pv. syringae* and *Erwinia carotovora subsp. carotovora*.

*C. furcata* extract showed generally little activity (7-10 mm) only against tested bacteria. Bacterial growth was generally resistant to the reference antibiotic ampicillin. DMSO was used as negative control and any inhibition zone didn’t create around DMSO discs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th><em>C. stellaris</em></th>
<th><em>C. pyxidata</em></th>
<th><em>C. furcata</em></th>
<th>DMSO</th>
<th>Amp.</th>
<th>Tetra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. vesicularis</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. cichorii</em></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. savastoni pv. fraxinus</em></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. indolthecium</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X. phaseoli</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X. axanopdis pv. vesticoria</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. michiganensis subsp. michiganensis</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X. axanopdis pv. malvearrum</em></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. syringae pv. syringae</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. carotovora subsp. carotovora</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X. horteanum pelargonii</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NA:** No Activity; **Amp:** Ampicillin; **Tetra:** Tetracycline
Figure 1. Antibacterial activity of the lichen extracts against *Xanthomonas hortanum pelargonii* (a), *Xanthomonas phaseoli* (b), *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* (c) and *Chrysobacterium indolthecium* (d).

Figure 2. Antibacterial activity of the lichen extracts against *Xanthomonas axanopodis* pv. *malvearrum* (e) and *Pseudomonas savastanoi* pv. *fraxinus* (f), *Pseudomonas cichorii* (g) and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* (h).

CUPRAC activities of the extracts and BHT presented Table 3. All the lichens indicated high CUPRAC activity. The activity was increased in the following order: *C. furcata* >*C. pyxidata* >*C. stellaris*. CUPRAC activity was increased when the concentration of the lichens increased.
Table 3. CUPRAC values of lichen extracts and BHT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lichen</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Absorbance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. stellaris</td>
<td>0.138±0.018</td>
<td>0.209±0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. pyxidata</td>
<td>0.177±0.008</td>
<td>0.233±0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. furcata</td>
<td>0.240±0.043</td>
<td>0.280±0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>0.501±0.007</td>
<td>0.595±0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± SD

4. DISCUSSION

Pharmaceutical industry needs new discoveries and improvement of brand medicinally active molecules [26].

Plant derived drugs and herbal medicines have been utilized since prehistoric times to cure human illnesses. Lichens synthesize various metabolites which called lichen substances. These substances are amino acid derivatives, aromatic compounds, dibenzofurans, depsides and depsidones. Lichen metabolites have significant biological activity like antiviral, antipyretic, enzyme inhibitory, antioxidant, allergic and antibiotic [27]. Moreover, lichens are noteworthy plant resources and are employed as food, spice, dyes and perfume industry and decoration [26].

There are different studies about antimicrobial activity of *C. stellaris*, *C. pyxidata* and *C. furcata*. For example, Paudel et al. (2014) investigated antimicrobial activity of *C. stellaris* and it was found that extract was inhibited *Staphylococcus aureus* but inactive against *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans* [28]. Kosanic et al. (2014) investigated inhibitory activity of acetone extracts of *C. furcata* and *C. pyxidata* lichens against *Bacillus mycoides*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus terreus*, *Penicillium purpurescens* and *Penicillium verrucosum* [29].

Rankovic et al. (2009) revealed that acetone and methanol extracts of *C. furcata* inhibited *Bacillus mycoides*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* but aqueous extract of *C. furcata* was inactive against test microorganisms [30].

Rankovic et al. (2011) also studied antimicrobial activity of acetone extract of *C. furcata* against *Bacillus mycoides*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Candida albicans*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Mucor mucedo*, *Paecilomyces variotii*, *Penicillium purpurescens*, *Penicillium verrucosum* and *Trichoderma harzianum* [31].

Jeon et al. (2009) studied antifungal activities of *C. pyxidata* against *Colletotrichum acutatum*, *Colletotrichum cocodes* and *Colletotrichum gloesporioides* which cause hot pepper anthracnose [32].

Studies also carried out about antimicrobial activity of different lichen species. Sesal et al. (2016) investigated methanol and chloroform extracts of *Ramalina canariensis*, *Ramalina chondrina*, *Ramalina fastigiata* and *Ramalina fraxinea* against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida albicans*. It was found that extracts of the lichens demonstrated inhibitory activity against the tested microorganisms in different levels [33].

Sarıözü et al. (2016) evaluated acetone, methanol and chloroform extracts of *Bryoria capillaris* and its barbatolic acid against *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida albicans*. It was found that extracts of the lichens demonstrated inhibitory activity against the tested microorganisms in different levels [33].
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhimurium, Yersinia enterocolitica, Candida parapsilosis, Candida albicans, Candida glabrata, Candida krusei, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus parasiticus, Fusarium moniliforme, Aspergillus fumigatus, Alternaria brassicola, Sclerotium rolfsii, Fusarium solani and Rhizopus sp. [34].

Uçarkuş et al. (2017) investigated antibacterial activity of acetone extract of Parmelia saxatilis and Parmelia sulcata lichens against Escherichia coli [35].

In the light of these antimicrobial activity studies, researchers tried to explore biopesticide property of different lichen species and many researchs have been carried out.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study about the antibacterial activity of lichen against phytopathogenic bacteria in the literature. However, there are a great deal of studies about antifungal activity of lichens against phytopathogenic fungi. For example, Shivanna and Garampolli (2015) worked out fungistatic property of ethyl acetate and methanol extracts of Flavoparmelia caperata, Parmotrema austrosinensis, Parmotrema grayanum, Parmotrema reticulatum, Parmotrema tinctorum, Physcia aipolia, Roccella montagnei and Teloschistes flavicans against Fusarium solani causing rhizome rot disease [36].

Antifungal activity of some lichens against phytopathogenic fungi Macrophomina phaseolina was revealed by Rashmi and Rajkumar [37]. Kekuda et al. (2014) demonstrated the biocontrol ability of Parmotrema tinctorum, Parmotrema grayanum and Parmotrema prasorediosum lichens against Colletotrichum capsici isolated from anthracnose of chilli [13].

The antimicrobial activity of plant extracts depends on the species of plant, the amount which used in the test, locations where the plant collected, the type of solvent and the type of tested microorganism [38].

This study is the first report about antimicrobial activity of C. stellaris, C. pyxidata and C. furcata lichens against phytopathogenic bacteria.

Halliwell & Gutteridge describe antioxidants as “a substance that, when exist at low concentrations compared with those of an oxidizable substrate, crucially retards or prevents oxidation of that substrate” [39]. Lichens compounds also possess powerful antioxidant property and have high ability to scavenge toxic free radicals because of their phenolic metabolites such as usnic acid and anthraquinone [26].

Different lichen species was screened in regard of antioxidant activity. Aoussar et al. (2017) was stated dichloromethane, acetone and methanol extracts of Evernia prunastri and Pseudevernia furfuracea have antioxidant activity [40].

Ismail et al. (2014) revealed antioxidant activity of methanol extract of Caloplaca trachyphilla and Xanthoparmelia scabrosa lichens [41].

Rankovic et al. (2014) surveyed antioxidant activity of methanol extract of Stereocaulon paschale lichen. It was demonstrated that S. paschale has antioxidant power [42].

Kosanic et al. (2014) worked DPPH radical scavenging activity, reducing power, superoxide anion radical scavenging, total phenolic content and total flavonoid content of C. pyxidata [29]. DPPH radical scavenging activity of C. stellaris was revealed by Paudel et al. [28].

Rankovic et al. (2011) carried a study related with DPPH free radical scavenging activity, superoxide anion radical scavenging activity and reducing power of C. furcata lichen [31].

This is the first study about CUPRAC activity of C. stellaris, C. pyxidata and C. furcata lichens.

5. CONCLUSION
It might be generally concluded that the acquired data remarked the probability of utilizing the tested lichens as a natural antibacterial source against plant pathogenic bacteria and antioxidant source. The lichens may be an actual and a cheaper
substitute for traditional medicines. Furthermore, the development of natural antimicrobial and antioxidant agents will help to decline the negative effects of synthetic drugs. Fractionation and characterization of these active biocompounds will be the future work to explore.
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