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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this case report was to present the orthognathic treatment of a patient with Class III malocclusion and severe asymmetry.

Subject and Method: The chief complaint of the 18-year old male was asymmetry. Clinically, he had maxillary retrognathism and severe facial asymmetry with the chin deviated to the left side. He also had a Class III molar and canine relationship. Cephalometric evaluation showed that the patient had maxillary retrusion and slight mandibular protrusion. The mandibular incisors were lingually and the maxillary incisors were labially inclined. Posteroanterior cephalometric analysis showed 2 mm maxillary and 5 mm mandibular midline deviation to the left side. The chin was deviated to the left side about 13°. After correction of the arch forms by fixed orthodontic treatment over seven months, surgical correction with bimaxillary osteotomy was undertaken.

Results: A Class I occlusion with normal overbite and overjet was achieved in 17 months. The maxillary retrusion, mandibular protrusion and asymmetry were corrected.

Conclusion: Combined orthodontic and orthognathic treatment resulted in a Class I occlusion and esthetically pleasing results.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Bu olgu raporunun amacı Sınıf III malokluzyona ve şiddetli asimetriye sahip bir hastanın ortognatik tedavisi sunmaktır.

Birey ve Yöntem: 18 yaşındaki erkek hastanın esas şikayetleri yüzündeki asimetrik görünüşdür. Klinik olarak maksiller retruzyonu, çene ucunda sola deviasyonu ve Sınıf III molar kanınlığı bulunmaktadır. Sefalometrik değerlendirme göre maksiller retruzyonu ve hafif mandibular protruzyonu bulunmaktadır. Alt keserler dikleşmiştir, üst keserler labiale eğimlidir. Posteroanterior sefalometrik analizde sola doğru 2 mm maksiller, 5 mm mandibular orta hat kayması mevcuttur. Çene ucu 13° sola kaymıştır. 7 ay süreyle uygulanan sabit ortodontik tedavi sonrası bimaksiller osteotomi ile cerrahi düzeltim sağlanmıştır.

Bulgular: 17 aylık tedavinin sonunda normal overbite, overjet ve Sınıf I okluzyon sağlanmıştır. Maksiller retruzyonu, mandibular protruzyonu ve asimetri düzelttilmiştir.

Sonuç: Kombine ortodontik ve ortognatik tedavi ile Sınıf I okluzyon elde edilmiş; kayıp estetik ve fonksiyon sağlanmıştır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Fasiyal asimetri, ortognatik tedavi, Sınıf III malokluzyon
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INTRODUCTION

Facial asymmetry is a major esthetic problem and usually accompanies various types of malocclusions. Although there is minor asymmetry in every face, which is considered normal, closer facial symmetry is known to be an important factor in facial attractiveness.\(^1\,\(^2\,\(^3\)\)

Facial asymmetry may accompany Class I malocclusion but frequently accompanies Class II or Class III malocclusions.\(^4\,\(^5\)\) Class III malocclusion can be characterized by maxillary retrusion, mandibular protrusion or both, and it has a 0% to 26% prevalence.\(^6\) Although dental asymmetries and minor skeletal asymmetries can be treated with orthodontic treatment alone, in patients with severe skeletal discrepancies, orthognathic surgery is recommended for esthetic and functional correction.\(^5\)

This case report presents the orthognathic treatment of a patient with Class III malocclusion and severe skeletal asymmetry.

CASE REPORT

An 18-year-old male was referred to Hacettepe University Faculty of Dentistry Department of Orthodontics with a chief complaint of asymmetry. When he was a six-month-old baby, the doctors told his parents that there was a tissue deficiency on one side of his face. When he was 14 years old, he fell down stairs onto the right side of his face. Except for these issues, his medical history was unremarkable.

Clinically, he had maxillary retrognathism and severe facial asymmetry with the chin deviated to the left side. Intraorally, he had Class III molar and canine relationships. The upper and lower dental midlines were deviated to the left side with more deviation in the mandibular midline. (Figure 1)

Panoramic x-ray evaluation showed that all permanent teeth were present except the upper left first molar, which had been extracted because of caries. The right mandibular condyle was thinner and longer in the panoramic view (Figure 2).

Cephalometric evaluation showed that the patient had maxillary retrusion and slight mandibular protrusion with an ANB angle of -2°. The mandibular incisors were lingually and the maxillary incisors labially inclined. (Figure 3) Posteroanterior cephalometric analysis showed 2 mm maxillary and 5 mm mandibular midline deviation to the left side. The chin was deviated to the left side about 13°. (Figure 4) There was no crowding in the lower arch, and there was 6 mm excess space in the upper arch.
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Figure 3. Pretreatment lateral cephalometric tracing

Figure 4. Pretreatment anteroposterior tracing

Treatment Objectives

Our goals were to improve the patient’s facial esthetics and to provide functional occlusion. There was severe skeletal asymmetry and Class III malocclusion with both maxillary retrusion and mandibular protrusion, so the only treatment choice was bimaxillary orthognathic surgery after decompensation of the dental arches with fixed orthodontic treatment. Although the maxillary incisors were labially inclined, extraction of the upper premolars was not planned, as the slight labial inclination of the incisors was thought to be correctable with the aid of excessive space at the upper arch.

Treatment Progress

The upper and lower arches were bonded and banded with 0.018-inch Roth prescription brackets (Victory Series, 3M Unitek, Monrovia, Calif), and 0.014 nickel titanium (Ni-Ti), 0.016 Ni-Ti, 0.016 stainless steel (SS) and 0.016 x 0.016 SS arch wires were inserted. Upper left canines, premolars and the molar were moved to the anterior one by one, using elastic chains for the space closure. Later, 0.016 x 0.022 SS arch wires were inserted and dental models were taken. As it was seen that the upper and lower dental models were consistent with each other after eight months of fixed orthodontic treatment, a surgical plan was devised.

Surgical Procedures

Two-jaw orthognathic surgery was planned to correct the maxillary retrusion and facial asymmetry. First, dental models of the patient were carried out to the articulator with face-bow transfer, and the model surgery was performed according to the surgical plan. Two pieces of acrylic splint were constructed at the laboratory. With Le Fort I osteotomy, the maxilla was moved forward by 1.5 mm at the right side and by 6.5 mm at the left side. The maxilla was fixed at the planned position with the aid of the acrylic splint. Asymmetric mandibular surgery was then performed by bilateral sagittal split osteotomy with a 12 mm setback on the right side and 5 mm forward movement on the left side. Then the intermaxillary fixation was performed using elastics by using an acrylic splint. Postoperative intermaxillary fixation was maintained for four weeks. After five months of postsurgical orthodontics treatment, debonding was planned.

Treatment Results

Favorable facial changes were obtained. The facial asymmetry and Class III profile features were corrected. The upper left central incisors on the mesial side and the lower dental midline were coincident with the facial midline, and Class I molar and canine relationships were
established. The patient’s oral hygiene was poor, and he was referred for descaling and for diastema closure of the upper central incisors. Because both central incisors needed esthetic restoration for a symmetrical appearance, the upper midline became slightly deviated to the right side when the restorations were finished. (Figure 5)

Cephalometrically, the SNA angle increased from 75° to 79°, the SNB angle decreased from 77° to 75°, and a skeletal Class I relationship was obtained with an ANB angle of 4°. The upper incisors were slightly retroclined from 118° to 110° at the upper incisor-Frankfurt horizontal angle, and lower incisor inclinations were not changed with the treatment. FMA angle slightly increased from 31° to 35°, 2.5 mm overjet and 1mm overbite was obtained. (Table 1, Figure 6a, 6b, 6c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>INITIAL</th>
<th>FINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA°</td>
<td>75°</td>
<td>79°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB°</td>
<td>77°</td>
<td>75°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB°</td>
<td>-2°</td>
<td>4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXILLARY DEPTH°</td>
<td>88°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA°</td>
<td>31°</td>
<td>35°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx1-FH°</td>
<td>118°</td>
<td>110°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx1-NA°</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>20°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA°</td>
<td>79°</td>
<td>80°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Md1-NB°</td>
<td>21°</td>
<td>24°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERJET (mm)</td>
<td>-2 mm</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERBITE (mm)</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The superimpositions at profile, Nasion-Basion at CC, Nasion Basion at Nasion and Xi point-Pm at Pm are shown in Figure 7. It was seen that the profile improved noticeably, mandible was positioned more posteriorly and rotated clockwise and maxilla was moved anteriorly.
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DISCUSSION

When a patient is seeking for a treatment for his dental and skeletal discrepancy, the first step should be the evaluation of the etiology as it mainly determines the treatment plan. The etiology of asymmetry can be divided into 3 main categories; congenital, developmental and acquired. Congenital asymmetries are usually associated with some syndromes but in our case although the patient had no syndrome, when he was a 6-month-old baby the asymmetry of his face was diagnosed as a tissue deficiency. The patient stated that the asymmetry increased gradually after he fell down from stairs so it was thought that the patient had a tissue deficiency from birth, but this severe facial asymmetry could be an acquired condition triggered by trauma. Also the patient’s father had a Class III malocclusion, and the etiology of Class III malocclusion was thought as a genetic predisposition.

The panoramic radiograph of the patient revealed asymmetric condyles with right condyle being thinner and longer. In Class III malocclusion with mandibular deviation it was reported that the condylar morphology and the density of the deviated side differs from non-deviated side. But that asymmetric condition should be differentiated from condylar hyperplasia which is the overdevelopment of the condyle unilaterally or bilaterally and leads to facial asymmetry or mandibular deviation. When the patient was referred to our clinic, he was 15 years old and because of the asymmetric conditions of the condyles and his Class III malocclusion, the patient was followed up till he was 18 years old. As it is known that the orthognathic surgery should be planned after growth period, we began to the treatment when it was observed that the severity of the discrepancy remains the same at one-year interval. The first step at pre-surgical orthodontic treatment was correction of decompensations. The inclinations of upper incisors were corrected and upper spaces were closed, but the inclinations of the mandibular incisors were not corrected as it could lead to reduced vertical alveolar height.

Dentoalveolar compensations are common in patients with Class III malocclusions and should be corrected with orthodontic treatment before orthognathic surgery for adequate surgical correction. Capelloza et al. suggested that decompensation of the maxillary arch satisfactorily leads to greater surgical correction. In the present case, although the upper incisors were labially inclined, there was also excessive space in the maxillary arch. So, instead of additional teeth extraction from the upper arch, the spaces were used for correction of the upper incisor inclinations.

Although orthognathic surgery is a difficult treatment plan for patients, in severe skeletal discrepancies, the patients seek orthognathic treatment choice for improvement in their esthetic appearance. Especially when the case is facial asymmetry, orthognathic treatment could be the only choice. It was shown that with double jaw orthognathic surgery facial symmetry improves and correlations of soft and hard tissue changes are highly predictable especially in mandibular region. Although the treatment length and surgical risks are major problems for the
patients, the improvement in the appearance by orthognathic surgery usually satisfies the patients\textsuperscript{16-18} and results in improvement in psychosocial adjustment.\textsuperscript{19} In our case, the patient was unhappy about his facial appearance and seeking for a treatment for the improvement in his appearance so after the treatment his self-esteem increased noticeably.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The combined orthodontic and orthognathic treatment of this patient with severe asymmetry and Class III malocclusion resulted in a Class I occlusion and esthetically pleasing results.
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