Electrochemical Oxidation Pathway of the Anti-Cancer Agent Dasatinib Using Disposable Pencil Graphite Electrode and its Adsorptive Stripping Voltammetric Determination in Biological Samples
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Abstract: The present study describes the use of pencil graphite electrode (PGE) so as to investigate the electro-oxidation mechanism and voltammetric stripping determination of dasatinib (DST) in Britton-Robinson buffer solution (BR). Relating to cyclic voltammetric studies, an irreversible oxidation signal was obtained at about 1.0 V. The oxidation electrode process is adsorption-controlled and pH-dependent. For quantitative determination of DST, square wave adsorptive stripping voltammetry (AdsSWV) was employed in BR of pH 3.0. The oxidation signal varies linearly with the DST concentration in the range of 0.0092 – 1.0 μM. Detection and quantification (LOD and LOQ) values are found as 0.0028 μM and 0.0092 μM, respectively. The developed AdsSWV method based on disposable and cheap PGE was applied successfully to the real human urine samples and the recovery results are given in the range of 97.94% to 100.82%.
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INTRODUCTION

Dasatinib (DST), N-(2-chloro-6-methylphenyl)-2-[[6-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]-2-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl]amino]-5-thiazole, inset in Fig. 1, is a multiple target tyrosine kinase inhibitor, forceful against the proliferation of cells expressing mutant kinases. DST is synthesized from a 2-aminothiazole that is taken in consideration the atypical Src family kinase inhibitor template (1). DST is displayed for the treatment of different myeloid leukaemia types (2-5). Also, DST used for treatment of nonHodgkin’s lymphoma, prostate cancer and metastatic breast cancer.

Up to now, there are a few methods described for the determination of DST only including chromatography (6-10), spectroscopy (11,12) and voltammetry (16,17). However, chromatographic and spectroscopic methods have some disadvantages like that they are time-consuming procedures, using expensive equipment and sample pre-treatments. In this context, electroanalytical methods are very good alternatives and more in particular, stripping techniques provide immense properties for determination of metal ions and some organic substances with excellent detection limits (13,14). Also, voltammetric techniques are relatively of low cost, sensitive and selective. Due to these advantages, voltammetric techniques has been used widely in the investigation of redox reactions and analysis of organic and inorganic substances using various electrodes. Nowadays carbon-based electrodes are available for electroanalytical applications by the reason of their lower background current, extensive potential window, low price, and inertness. In particular, pencil graphite electrode has been used as working electrode because of high electrochemical reactivity, low cost and ease of modification, ease of miniaturization, no need to time consuming processes (15).

There are few studies on the voltammetric analysis of DST. Jesus et al. and Karimi-Maleh et al. reported that DST was electrochemically analyzed at glassy carbon electrode and modified carbon paste electrode by square wave voltammetry. The values of LOD were found to be 0.13 and 1.0 µM in the other works (16, 17). However, a survey of literature reveals that not much work has been performed on electrochemical oxidation mechanism and adsorptive stripping voltammetric analysis of DST in the low limit of detection at the disposable pencil graphite electrode.

Thus, the goal of current study was to investigate the voltammetric oxidation mechanism of dasatinib by using disposable pencil graphite electrode and to develop a new sensitive voltammetric stripping method for the rapid, cheap and sensitive analysis in human urine samples.
EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals and Apparatus
Standard DST powder was taken from Nobel© and all other solvents purchased from Sigma Company. 1.0 mM stock solution of DST was prepared by dissolving a known amount of DST in a mixture of 40% DMSO and 60% double distilled water and kept in the fridge at +4 °C until assay. 0.02 M Britton-Robinson buffer solution was used as supporting electrolyte and 0.1 M NaOH solution was used to adjust the pH of medium.

Cyclic voltammetric (CV), square wave adsorptive stripping voltammetric (AdsSWV) and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopic (EIS) measurements were carried out on a CHI 760B (USA) Workstation with BAS C3 cell stand. A traditional three electrode system was used and pencil graphite electrode (PGE) was used as working electrode, Ag/AgCl (in 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaCl, BAS MW-1032) was used as reference and platinum wire was used as an auxiliary electrode (BAS MF-2052). A pencil lead with 0.5 mm diameter (2B) and 60 mm a total length (Tombow, Japan). A pencil of Rotring from Germany was used as a holder for the pencil lead and all of them were taken from a local bookstore. Electrical contact was provided by wrapping a metallic wire to the metallic part of the holder. 12 mm of lead was measured with a ruler and then immersed into the solution before each measurement. pH measurements were carried out with a HANNA Instruments HI2211 pH/ORP meter.

Analytical procedure
All electrochemical measurements (CV, AdsSWV, EIS) were made in a 10 mL-voltammetric cell. A known volume of stock solution was mixed with the required volume of BR buffer with the determined pH. Before all measurements, the solution inside the cell was purged with ultra-pure nitrogen (99.99 %) for a period of 60 s to expel the dissolved oxygen. The voltammograms were recorded after a 2- second quiet time, in the potential range, (+0.4)– (+1.40) V.

Calibration solutions
By using the stock 1.0 mM DST solution, calibration solutions at the concentrations of 0.010, 0.050, 0.10, 0.30, 0.50, 0.80, and 1.0 μM were prepared in the volumetric cell, then the current of each solution were measured by AdsSWV method.

The calibration of the pH electrode were done by commercial buffer solutions at the pH values of 4.0, 7.0, and 10. Double-distilled water was supplied from a Human Power I+, Ultra-Pure Water System. All measurements were performed at room temperature.
Biological sample preparation
Human urine samples were taken from healthy volunteers. As soon as the urine sample was taken, calculated amount of supporting electrolyte was mixed with 20 μL of urine in the voltammetric cell. To this mixture, a sufficient volume of DST stock solution was added to make the final volume exactly to 10.0 mL.

RESULT and DISCUSSION

Electrochemical behavior of DST on PGE
Electrochemical redox behavior, adsorption, and diffusion properties of DST on PGE were studied by using CV. As can be understood in Figure 1, DST has one irreversible oxidation peak at about 1.0 V at pH 3.0 (vs. Ag/AgCl) and there is no reduction peak on the reverse scan. Also, there is no oxidation or reduction signal when only BR solution was scanned under the same circumstances.

![Cyclic voltammograms of blank BR and 0.1 mM DST on PGE (BR buffer solution, pH: 3.0)](image)

**Figure 1.** Cyclic voltammograms of blank BR and 0.1 mM DST on PGE (BR buffer solution, pH: 3.0)

*inset:* Chemical structure of DST, scan rate:0.1Vs⁻¹.

Influence of pH
The pH dependence of DST’s peak potential and peak current was examined by using CV in BR buffer solution at different pH values (2.0-6.0; with pH increment of 1.0). The oxidation peak was seen in the pH interval of 2.0 to 6.0 then at pH values of ≥7.0, the peak was disappeared. As can
be understood in Fig. 2, the relevant peak was reached maximum current value at pH 3.0. Thereby, pH 3.0 was chosen as optimum pH for analysis of DST.

The peak potential of DST moves more negative potential values with increasing pHs (Fig. 2b). This behavior indicates that, the oxidation mechanism of DST includes proton transfer as well as electron transfer. The peak potential of the linear relation relates (Ep) to the pH value:

\[ E_p = -0.0511pH + 1.1905 \ (R^2=0.9232). \]

Slope of this equation (0.0511 V/pH) is nearly the same with the theoretical value of 0.059 V/pH and this result shows that, in the electrode reaction mechanism, transferred electron and proton numbers are equal (18).
Influence of scan rate

The voltammograms obtained by using CV were recorded at various scan rates to see the differences in the peak potential and current in 0.02 M BR buffer solution at pH 3.0 (0.1 mmolL⁻¹ DST, Fig.3). The scan rates were changed in the interval \( \nu = 0.05-0.50 \) Vs⁻¹. The voltammograms show that, with increasing scan rate, the peak current permanently increases and the peak potential moves in values that are more positive. As can be seen in the inset of Figure 3, the equation between the peak current and the scan rate for oxidation peak is given below:

\[
i_p = 122.26 \nu + 3.48 \quad (R^2 = 0.9893)
\]

The linearity of \( i_p \) vs. \( \nu \) graphs specified that the reaction is adsorption-controlled process (19). The \( \log i_p \) vs. \( \log \nu \) is presented in Fig.3 for DST. The slope of the graph is 0.82. This result indicates that the adsorption phenomenon is dominant (18).

To find out the transferred electron numbers of oxidation mechanism, the following equation for irreversible reactions is used (19):

\[
|E_p - E_{p/2}| = \frac{48}{\alpha n_F} \text{ mV}
\]

According to this equation, \( n \) is the transferred electron numbers, \( E_p \) represents the peak potential, \( E_{p/2} \) represents the half peak potential, and \( \alpha \) represents the electron transfer coefficient. By using corresponding equation, the number of electrons (\( n \)) transferred in the oxidation of DST occurring at the potential value of about 1.0 V is 1.99 ± 0.18.

According to all these results, in oxidation mechanism of DST, \( 2e^- \) and \( 2H^+ \) are transferred. This may be attributed to the fact that the sulfur group of the thiazole ring is oxidized to sulfonyl group (16) and tentative reaction mechanism is suggested as follows:

![Scheme 1. Elecro-oxidation mechanism of DST on PGE.](image-url)
Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of 0.1 mmolL\(^{-1}\) DST at different scan rate values in BR buffer solution pH 3.0 Insets a. \(i_p - \nu\) and Insets b. \(\log \nu - \log i_p\) plots.

Analytical procedure
The AdsSWV method with the PGE indicates some prospects for the quantitative determination of DST in real human urine samples. The circumstances of the determination studies are given below.

Optimization of experimental conditions
The applicability of adsorptive stripping square wave voltammetry (AdsSWV) procedure as analytical methods for the analysis of DST was examined by measuring the anodic peak current as a function of the concentration of DST. The linear concentration range, LOD and LOQ were determined from the calibration graph obtained by measuring the anodic peak current as a function of concentration. For this reason, the effects of accumulation time and accumulation potentials on the peak currents obtained with 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) molL\(^{-1}\) DST were investigated by using AdsSWV. Accumulation potentials were changed in the range (0.0) – (+1.0 V) with 0.1 V increments. Accumulation times were in the range 0 – 90.0 s (15s increments). The results are summarized in Figure 4. The graphs show that the optimum accumulation potential and accumulation time values are 0.2 V and 30.0 s, respectively.
Figure 4. The effect of accumulation potential (a) and accumulation time (b) on AdsSW voltammograms of DST (C\textsubscript{DST}: 1.0 µmolL\textsuperscript{-1}, pH 3.0).

Calibration studies
Under optimum experimental conditions, the calibration graph is established. As it can be seen in Figure 5, DST’s oxidation peak current linear with DST concentration in the concentration range of 0.01 – 1.0 µM. The regression equation of calibration graph is given below:

\[ i_p (\mu A) = 6.68C_{\text{DST}} (\mu M) + 1.20 \quad (R^2 = 0.9973). \]

The values of LOD and LOQ were calculated using the equations are mentioned below (19-21); LOD and LOQ values were calculated using the following Equation;

\[ \text{LOD} = 3s/m; \quad \text{LOQ} = 10s/m \]

where \( s \) is the standard deviation for the peak currents of lowest DST concentration studied and \( m \) is the slope of the calibration graph.

By using corresponding equations, LOD and LOQ values were calculated as 0.0028 µmolL\textsuperscript{-1} and 0.0092 µmolL\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. According to our literature knowledge, the obtained limits are the lowest results notch up to now (16, 17). A comparison of our study with literature reports is shown in Table 1 and validation parameters of proposed method are shown in Table 2.
Figure 5. AdsSW voltammograms of DST for solutions with various concentrations (0.01 µM-1.0 µM). Inset: Calibration curve of AdsSWV.

Table 1. Regression data of the calibration curve for the analysis of DST by AdsSWV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>AdsSWV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak potential, V</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope, (µA/µM)</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression coefficient, R²</td>
<td>0.9973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working range, µmolL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.0092-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD, µmolL⁻¹ *</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ, µmolL⁻¹ *</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility of peak current, RSD % (n=3)</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility of peak potential, RSD % (n=3)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the confidence limit of 95 %, t = 2.57 for N = 4 for all experiments. RSD is the relative standard deviation of four replications.
Table 2. Comparison of the successes of different voltammetric methods for the analysis of DST.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Working range (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOD (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AdsSWV</td>
<td>PGE</td>
<td>0.0092 – 1.0</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>GCE</td>
<td>0.43-2.0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>Pt/MWCNTs-BMIHFP-CPE</td>
<td>5.0 - 500</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Urine and tablets</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Real sample application

In order to demonstrate the applicability of developed method, DST was analyzed in human urine by using AdsSWV. The analysis procedure was given in Experimental part (in Real sample preparation section). According to the calculated recovery results, DST can be analyzed in urine samples with high accuracy. The results of recovery are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Recovery results for DST in urine samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added, μM</th>
<th>Found, μM</th>
<th>Recovery, %</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>100.82±7.01</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>98.71±10.03</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>97.94±6.67</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interferences

The impact of interferents that can be present in human urine samples were examined. In this context, 0.3 μmolL⁻¹ DST sample was mixed with known amounts of uric acid, ascorbic acid, glucose, L-glutamic acid and L-cysteine for the purpose of adjust their concentrations to 30 μmolL⁻¹ (100 times higher than DST). Present responses obtained from only DST and samples blended with DST and components were compared. Calculated differences between the peak currents were found to be less than 10% of the signal obtained with DST solution.

CONCLUSION

This work has demonstrated that DST has only one oxidation peak at about +1.0 V at PG electrode in Britton Robinson buffer solution, pH 3.0. The electrochemical oxidation occurs through step by two electrons and two protons. For the quantification analysis of DST with AdsSWV method was optimized to voltammetric parameters. The oxidation signal is linearly related to DST in the
concentrations range of 0.0092–1.0 μmolL⁻¹, with a LOD of 0.0028 μmolL⁻¹ and a LOQ of 0.0092 μmolL⁻¹. To the best of our literature knowledge, these values are the lowest detection limits ever. Moreover, the method was applied successfully to real human urine sample.
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