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A misleading cutaneous lesion for the surgeon: pyoderma gangrenosum
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Abstract

Pyoderma gangrenosum is a rare inflammatory skin disorder characterized by cutaneous papulo-pustules that evolve rapidly into large, painful, necrotic ulcers. These lesions are often initially diagnosed as wound infection and necrotizing fasciitis. Debridement, although the standard of care for wound infections, is paradoxically detrimental in pyoderma gangrenosum because of pathergy. Early diagnosis and treatment of pyoderma gangrenosum is very important because unnecessary debridement and associated morbidity can be prevented. Herein, we report a case with pyoderma gangrenosum mimicking necrotizing fasciitis.
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INTRODUCTION

Pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) is a rare inflammatory skin disorder characterized by cutaneous papulo-pustules that evolve rapidly into large, painful, necrotic ulcers with violaceous, undermined edges1. Most commonly, a PG lesion forms a site of minor trauma as a tender inflammatory nodule or pustule that breaks down over time to create a necrotic ulceration, a process known as “pathergy”2. These lesions are often initially diagnosed as wound infection and necrotizing fasciitis1,3. Debridement, although the standard of care for wound infections, is paradoxically detrimental in PG because of pathergy1. Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment of PG is very important because unnecessary debridement and associated morbidity can be prevented4. Herein, we report a case with PG mimicking necrotizing fasciitis, and aimed to emphasize its importance for the surgeon.

CASE

A 57-year old woman who had diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease admitted to our hospital with complaint of an indurated and pustular lesion located to the lower part of the posterior cervical region lasting for 10 days. The patient was initially evaluated by a dermatologist and an infectious disease specialist. The patient had elevated white blood cell count (WBC) (17.640/mcL) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (138 mg/L), and the skin lesion was considered as a skin infection, and empirical antibiotic treatment (ampicillin/sulbactam 2 gr tid via intravenous route and ciprofloxacin 500 mg bid via per oral route) was started.
The patient was referred to plastic surgery clinic due to development of a necrotic lesion on the skin measuring 20x10 cm and a subcutaneous cavitary lesion during her follow up (Figure 1). She was considered to have necrotizing fasciitis due to infected appearance of lesion and presence of diabetes mellitus. We planned wound debridement and classical wound care followed by reconstruction with a graft or flap. So necrotic areas in the skin lesion were debrided and biopsy was performed (Figure 2). Histopathological examination revealed a high degree of neutrophilic infiltration and non-specific inflammatory findings. The patient was re-evaluated together with the dermatologist and the infectious disease specialist under the light of these histopathological and clinical findings, and the patient was considered to have an ulcerative type pyoderma gangrenosum. Detailed examinations were done for other underlying reasons; however no abnormal test results were obtained.

The initial reconstruction plan was abandoned due to the possibility of additional surgical morbidity known as pathergy. An immune-modulatory drug, Dapsone 50 mg bid via per-oral route was recommended by the dermatologist as the patient had diabetes mellitus. The patient was followed up with classical wound care by our plastic surgery clinic. The wound was observed to progressively fill with granulation and eventually completely recovered with scar tissue (Figure 3, 4).

DISCUSSION

PG was first described by Brunsting et al. in 1930. It is a rare non-infectious disease addressed in the spectrum of neutrophilic dermatoses. The etiology of PG is not well understood, but it is generally considered to be an aberrant and possibly auto-reactive immune response characterized by a dermal neutrophilic infiltrate. Classically, lesions begin as tender papules, papulo-pustules or vesicles, evolving into painful and rapidly enlarging ulcers. Healing frequently leaves a cribriform scar, which may lead to considerable disfiguring. On histology, PG lesions do not display specific features, being typically characterized by a prominent dermal neutrophilic infiltrate with abscess formation, with no signs of infection and usually without significant vasculopathy.

PG has been estimated to occur in three to ten individuals/million worldwide. PG is most commonly observed in young to middle-aged adults, with women being more affected. There are four prototypic forms of PG; ulcerative (most common type), pustular, bullous, and vegetative, all of which differ based on their clinical presentations and
associated disease. The course of PG can be acute, relapsing, or chronic. The onset of PG can be just as variable as its clinical presentation. It may arise spontaneously, or following cutaneous injury (pathergy). Some patients present with one or two slowly growing ulcers while others experience a rapid onset with abrupt appearance of multiple rapidly enlarging ulcerations simultaneously. In adults, PG most commonly presents on the lower extremities and trunk. Other sites of involvement including breast, head and neck, and peristomal skin have been reported. In children, the buttocks, perineum, and head and neck are most commonly affected.

Diagnosis of PG is challenging as clinical signs closely resemble an infectious process with no diagnostic laboratory or pathognomonic histological findings. The work-up starts with a cutaneous biopsy that preferentially includes the border of the ulcer and adjacent skin. Histology is essential to exclude vasculitis and malignancy, and special stains and tissue cultures may also be used to rule out infection. Complete work-up should include extensive blood and urine screening and colonoscopy, in order to exclude underlying coexisting conditions. In order to increase PG recognition and improve diagnosis specificity, diagnostic criteria have been proposed. Diagnosis of PG requires the two major criteria (a characteristic PG classical type ulcer, and the exclusion of other cause of cutaneous ulceration), combined with at least two minor criteria (suggestive history pathergy or cribriform scar, presence of a relevant associated disease, compatible histopathological findings, or response to systemic steroid treatment). In our case, atypical location of the lesion and the lack of history of a clear trauma led to some delay in the diagnosis. However, we could rapidly make the diagnosis of PG with presence of a typical ulcer, presence of at least two minor criteria and elimination of other causes.

Making an accurate diagnosis of PG and distinguish it from necrotizing fasciitis as early as possible is important for management and outcome of a patient who was initially admitted to a surgery clinic with a rapidly developing cutaneous lesion mimicking necrotizing fasciitis. In necrotizing fasciitis, the initial clinical manifestation is similar to cellulitis. The overlying skin is shiny and tense without clear lines of demarcation. Unlike PG, the disease process progresses very rapidly in a matter of hours rather than days. As the infection progresses the redness of the skin turns to purple or purple-black with tissue necrosis. Eventually frank gangrene sets in and progresses along the fascial planes. In contrast to PG, necrotizing fasciitis requires early aggressive management, immediate surgical evaluation, and intravenous antibiotics.

Similarly to necrotizing fasciitis, suppurative and necrotic appearance of PG often incites surgeons to aggressively debride the wound. Trauma from surgery, which induces cytokine release and polymorphonuclear neutrophils chemotaxis, may induce formation of new PG ulcers or cause enlargement of the existing lesion. Surgery alone has not been shown to be an effective strategy for management of PG. Any surgical procedure has to be done as an adjunct to immunosuppression only in patients with stable disease. Classical wound care and vacuum-assisted closure has been used successfully to induce granulation tissue in slow
healing or large ulcers as long as effective immunosuppression\textsuperscript{2,3}.

Although there is no universally accepted "gold standard", topical and systemic corticosteroids are considered the first immunosuppressive option in patients with PG\textsuperscript{6}. Other anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs can be used as steroid-sparing agents or alternative drugs for patients with refractory disease\textsuperscript{6}. In our case, Dapsone was preferred by the dermatologist for the first step therapy, because our patient had diabetes mellitus. As stated in the literature, classical wound care was performed together with an effective immunosuppressive therapy and rapid wound healing was observed.

In conclusion, surgeons should keep in mind the possibility of pyoderma gangrenosum in a patient who has a cutaneous lesion with suppurative and necrotic appearance mimicking necrotizing fasciitis which requires aggressive surgical debridement, so surgery-induced additional morbidity may be prevented. An integrated work up gains importance in presence of such lesions which are difficult to discriminate and which may be challenging unless diagnosed and treated correctly.
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