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ABSTRACT

Modeling a dead-time system is a common issue in engineering applications. To address this issue, existing research has employed neural networks and fuzzy logic-based intelligent systems. Herein, a dead-time system modeled with the aid of support vector machine regression, which has a good generalization feature, was investigated. The performance of the method proposed herein was examined with different parameters in linear and nonlinear dead-time systems.
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Introduction

Optimal generalization success can be achieved for a learning task with a finite number of training cases, if the machine capacity is correctly balanced, which means that the result is a definitive conclusion on a given training group and the learning ability every single training set excellently. The classic neural network attitude has faced several challenges, especially in applications with few data, in generalizing and generating data-compatible models. The Support Vector Machines (SVM) presented by Vapnik in the 1970s is a combination of statistics, machine learning, Neural Networks (NN) [1, 2]. The formulation includes the Structural Risk Minimization rule. SVM can also carry out a well generalising of classifying and regressing even on little sized data using the kernel function mapping technique [3, 4]. In addition, SVM are becoming increasingly popular due to their many attractive features in theoretical and engineering applications. Unlike NN, SVM can overcome the problem of classifying and regressing via being transformed to the problem of quadratic programming without being deterred by any regional minimum. One other adverse side of NN is not to know exactly to choose the hidden cells numbers. SVM that can be utilized at the nonlinear system modelling, is utilized at many fields of model identification, identification of hidden handwriting, identification of objects, determination of sound, search and characterization of a face, regression, estimation of a function and optimal control areas [5, 6].

The purpose of this work is to present the regression method according to SVM by applying it in order to model of the system with dead-time. The proposed article is arranged as below:

A general information about SVM is given in Chapter 2. The proposed support vector machine regression method and its structure are expressed in Chapter 3, the examples are in Chapter 4. They are followed by conclusion and references sections.

Support Vector Regression

Vapnik found in 1997 that support vector techniques were successfully applied to solve regression problems [1]. Contrary to pattern recognition problems, there are real-value func-
tions. Support vector machine is an effective way to model linear and nonlinear systems.

The training data set to be used to learn the function f(x) with input-output relation is as follows:

\[ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, input data, \( X \), stand for N-size vectors and generally system responds, \( Y \), stand for scalar values. The function to be estimated in the support vector machine is processed as follows. The input/output connection may be modeled as follows at the feature space by the linear regressing model:

\[ f(x,w) = w^T x + b \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Several loss functions are used in regression operations. The \( \varepsilon \)-tolerance loss function used here is given as follows:

\[ Y_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |y_i - f(x_i, w)| < \varepsilon \\
|y_i - f(x_i, w)| - \varepsilon & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

It is the objective to minimize the observational and also the experimental risk that symbolizes the whole of errors at SVM algorithm formula and \( \alpha \) at once. As a result, a regressing hyper-plane \( f(x,w) \) can be detected in \( x \) and \( x^* \) slack variables via minimization as follow:

\[ R(w, \xi, \xi^*) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi + \xi^*) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The trade-off parameter between error and \( ||w|| \) weight vector form is \( C \) and it is chosen by the user. \( \varepsilon \) value, another parameter, which will be chosen by the user, like \( C \), and defines the size of the \( \varepsilon \)-tube. This optimization problem with restriction is resolved via installing a secondary Lagrangian as follows:

\[ \text{max} \quad W(\alpha, \alpha^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i)y_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The restrictions are as the following.

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^*_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \]

\[ 0 \leq \alpha_i^* \leq C, \quad i = 1, ..., n \]

\[ 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, \quad i = 1, ..., n \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

By combining the results of optimal regression hyper-plane, it is rewritten as:

\[ z = f(x,w) = w^T x + b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) x_i > + b \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In non-linear SVM regression, it is passed through from non-linear input space to a linear features space using “kernel functions”, which meet Mercer conditions and are symmetric final positive. Any kernel function, which corresponds to any internal multiplication to be done, in this space having high-degree size has been defined in the present space \([7]\).

\[ K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Using kernel function, need for making multiplications in \(7 \) is eliminated. In the feature space, which is linear, the algorithm for linear regression by SVM is applied. Thus, \( f(x,w) \) hyperplane of regression, which is linear in the features space, will create non-linear regressing hyper-plane in the specific input space. The formulation for non-linear regression is rewritten as follows:

\[ f(x,w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) K(x_i, x) + b \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The most popular kernel functions, the polynomial kernel function, Radial Base kernel Function (RBF) have been used and tested in this study.

Polynomial kernel function: \( K(x,x') = (x^T x' + 1)^p \)

RBF: \( K(x,x') = \exp \left( -\frac{||x-x'||^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \)

**Modeling with SVM-Regression**

The proposed form of the modeling of the dynamic system using the SVM-Regression (SVM-R) is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Proposed modelling using SVM-R](image)

where \( u \) and \( y \)'s refer system input and outputs. \( y' \) is the actual one belonging to system given. \( y' \) is the one of the SVM-R modeling, \( e \) refers the error inbetween outputs of the actual and the modelled systems. This is a modeling error and also depends on the approximate ability.

It is presumed that the structure of the actual system or its mathematical model is not known. SVM modeling data set is planned as input - output values batch of the system. Usually, the outputs of each systems at the \( k+1 \) time, can be stated as a function with former output specimens, and-with former input specimens.

\[ y(k + 1) = f(y(k), ..., y(k - n + 1), u(k - d), ..., u(k - d - m + 1), \eta(k), ..., \eta(k - l)) = f(x(k)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where, \( \eta(k) \) is uncertainty (including some noises, unmodelled dynamics… etc), \( d \) is the time lag being in samples (when \( T \) is the sampling period, the system dead time is \( dT \) ) \( n, m, l \)
is the maximum delay of the output, input and noise respectively, and \( f(\cdot) \) is a nonlinear function. To enter the SVM, the vector is as follows:

\[
x(k) = [y(k), \ldots, y(k-n+1), u(k-d), \ldots, u(k-d-m+1), \eta(k), \ldots, \eta(k-j)]^T
\]  

(11)

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1} \), \( k = d+1, \ldots, d+N \). \( y(k+1) \) is output. \( \hat{y}(k+1) \) refers predicted output value at time \( (k+1) \). As a result, this nonlinear system model is rewritten as follows:

\[
\hat{y}(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{SVs} (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) K(x, x(k)) + b
\]  

(12)

Modeling algorithm with SVM-R

Stage 1: Create training data set \( \{(x_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \ldots, N \}. The number of training data pairs in the group is \( N \).

Stage 2: Parameter and kernel function selections depending on user are done. (C and \( \varepsilon \))

Stage 3: SVM is trained with training data.

Stage 4: Various test and input data are used for testing of the performance of the SVM based model.

Examples

Linear system modeling example

A first order dead system is seen as below [8].

\[
y(k+1) = 0.9512y(k) + 0.07316u(k-d)
\]  

(13)

- Sample period is 0.1s, delay step is \( d=5 \). The design parameters are selected and applied as follows.
- \( u(t) = 1 + \sin(10.0t + 5) + \sin(2.0t + 1) \) is the selected sign as input. The system is run for a period of time to collect input - output training data.
- \( X(k) = [y(k), y(k-1) u(k) u(k-1)]^T, Y(k) = y(k+1) \) are the selected input and output of the SVM.
- User defined parameters are selected like \( C: 200, \varepsilon : 0.001. \) Every training set involves 200 components.
- This method is applied for both RBF and also polynomial kernel function. RBF is tested for \( \sigma = 9.10 \) and polynomial kernel parameter \( p \) is selected as \( p=1, 2 \). In addition, 3 different test inputs are applied for comparison (Table 2). The outputs for different inputs are shown in Figure 4, 5.

Nonlinear system modeling example

The method was applied to a nonlinear dead time system after it was applied to a linear system. Here a nonlinear second order dead time system is considered.

The system considered with 3 varied dead times \( T=0.25 \text{sec.}, 1\text{sec}, 2\text{sec.} \), are given like follows [9].

\[
\dot{y}(t) + y(t) + y(t) + y^3(t) = u(t-T)
\]  

(14)

The design parameters are selected and applied as follows.

- \( u(t) = 1 + \sin(10.0t + 5) + \sin(2.0t + 1) \) is the selected sign as input. The system is run for a period of time to collect input - output training data.
- \( X(k) = [y(k), y(k-1) u(k) u(k-1)]^T, Y(k) = y(k+1) \) are the selected input and output of the SVM.
- User defined parameters are selected like \( C: 200, \varepsilon : 0.001. \) Every training set involves 200 components.
- This method is applied for both RBF and also polynomial kernel function. RBF is tested for \( \sigma = 9.10 \) and polynomial kernel parameter \( p \) is selected as \( p=1, 2 \). In addition, 3 different test inputs are applied for comparison (Table 1). The outputs for different inputs are shown in Figure 2, 3.

Conclusion

In this work, a method based on modeling of dead time systems using SVM regression algorithm is presented. In the proposed method, any mathematical or theoretical forms of the system do not need to be known. The system is modeled by the SVM-R method constituted via a loss function. The SVM regression has high generalization potency and gives very good results even with a small number of training data. As it guarantees a global minimum it is also a very dependable approach. The method has been applied on linear time and
Table 1. Training and testing errors for different kernel parameters and different inputs (for linear system)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel function</th>
<th>Kernel parameter</th>
<th>Training error</th>
<th>Testing error</th>
<th>Step error</th>
<th>Error of $u=\exp(-t)+\sin(5t+5)$</th>
<th>Error of random signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polinomial</td>
<td>$p=1$</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p=2$</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p=3$</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p=4$</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>$\sigma=0.5$</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma=2$</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma=3$</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma=4$</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Training and testing errors for different kernel parameters and different inputs (for nonlinear system)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel function</th>
<th>Kernel parameter</th>
<th>Dead time (second)</th>
<th>Training error</th>
<th>Testing error</th>
<th>Step error</th>
<th>Error of $u=\exp(-t)+1+\sin(St+5)$</th>
<th>Error of 1. random signal</th>
<th>Error of 2. random signal</th>
<th>Error of 3. random signal</th>
<th>Error of 4. random signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polinomial</td>
<td>$p=1$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p=2$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>$\sigma=9$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma=10$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Polinomial kernel ($p=3$), $u=$ random

Figure 5. RBF kernel ($\sigma=9$), $u=$ random
nonlinear dead time systems, and a very high modeling and generalization success has been observed even at various inputs. These results are evident from the small size of the modeling errors. Also, it has been found that as the dead time increased, there was a small increase in modeling errors and the modeling performance was not adversely affected by the change of the system's dead time. This is very important in terms of modeling performance. As Table 2 shows, the error grows as the polynomial level grows for the polynomial kernel, and the error decreases as the variance for the rbf kernel grows. On the other hand, selecting kernel and its parameters is a very important question of the SVM. This can be seen on the tables. the choice of parameters depends on the user, there are still studies focusing on making the right choice [9]. increasing the training data provides a better performance, but this can lead time problems in some complex systems. Various studies have also been done on this topic [10-12].
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