Nonstandard hulls of lattice-normed ordered vector spaces
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Abstract: Nonstandard hulls of a vector lattice were introduced and studied in many papers. Recently, these notions were extended to ordered vector spaces. In the present paper, following the construction of associated Banach–Kantorovich space due to Emelyanov, we describe and investigate the nonstandard hull of a lattice-normed space, which is the foregoing generalization of Luxemburg’s nonstandard hull of a normed space.
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1. Introduction

Nonstandard analysis provides a natural approach to various branches of functional analysis (see, for example, [1,9,13–15,21–24,26]). Luxemburg’s construction of the nonstandard hull of a normed space (cf. [1,23,29]) is one of the most important and elegant illustrations of the said approach. Recall that, given an internal normed space $(X;\|\cdot\|)$, an element $x \in X$ is called infinitesimal if $\|x\| \approx 0$ and finite if $\|x\| \leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote the set of infinitesimal elements and the set of finite elements of $X$ by $\mu(X)$ and fin$(X)$, respectively. Since $\mu(X)$ is a vector subspace of a vector space fin$(X)$, we may define $\hat{X}$ to be a quotient fin$(X)/\mu(X)$.

Note that $\hat{X}$ is a real vector space and also a Banach space (cf. [23, p.33]) under the norm defined by

$$\| [x] \| = \sup \{ a \in \mathbb{R} : \|x\| \leq a \} \quad (x \in \text{fin}(X)). \tag{1}$$

In the case when $X = \star Y$ for some standard normed space $Y = (Y;\|\cdot\|)$, the normed space $\hat{Y} := \star \hat{Y} = (\star \hat{Y};\|\cdot\|)$ is called the nonstandard hull of $Y$. In the present paper, we develop the notion of the nonstandard hull of a normed space further by generalizing it to the case of a lattice-normed space (abbreviated by LNS).

In the 1990s, Luxemburg’s construction was extended to vector lattices (see [7–9]). Note that a vector lattice $E$ can be seen as the corresponding LNS $(E;\|\cdot\|,\cdot)$. Lattice-normed vector lattices (abbreviated by LNVLs) have attracted attention in [4,5,10,12,14,26,27]. The general theory of lattice-normed ordered vector spaces (abbreviated by LNOVSs) is still under investigation. The present paper contributes to the study of this theory by using nonstandard analysis, namely by using nonstandard hulls of LNOVSs normed by Dedekind complete vector lattices.
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The scheme of nonstandard analysis used below has been introduced by Luxemburg and Stroyan [30]. In our paper, we deal only with nonstandard enlargements satisfying the general saturation principle (such nonstandard enlargements are called polysaturated [1, p.47]). Since the basic methods of nonstandard analysis are well developed and presented in many textbooks (see, for example, [1,21,23,27,29,32]), we refer the reader for corresponding notions and terminology to these standard sources. We also refer to [2,3,14,26,31,33,34,36] for theory of ordered vector spaces (abbreviated by OVSs) and [10,12,14,16,18] for nonstandard hulls of vector lattices and OVSs.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we include elementary theory of LNOVSs in parallel with theory of LNVLs (see, for example, [4,5,26]). In Section 3, we introduce and investigate the nonstandard hull of an LNS normed by a Dedekind complete vector lattice. This notion is closely related to the construction of an associated Banach-Kantorovich space [10,12,14]. In Section 4, we investigate nonstandard hulls of LNOVSs. The main result here is Theorem 5, that is the nonstandard hull of a $p$-semimonotone LNOVS is also $p$-semimonotone with the same constant of semimonotonicity.

2. Preliminaries

In the present paper, all standard OVSs are assumed to be real, Archimedean, and equipped with the generating positive cone $\mathbb{R}_+$. We define and study certain necessary notions such as $p$-normality and $op$-continuity in LNOVSs, $p$-Levi spaces, etc. (see also [4–6] for their lattice versions).

The following notions in lattice-normed vector spaces (abbreviated by LNSs) are motivated by their analogies in normed spaces.

**Definition 1** (see also [4]) Given an LNS $(X, p, E)$ and $A, B \subseteq X$.

(a) $A$ is said to be $p$-dense in $B$ if, for any $b \in B$ and for any $0 \neq u \in p(X)$, there is $a \in A$ such that $p(a - b) \leq u$.

(b) $A$ is said to be $p$-closed if, for any net $a_\alpha$ in $A$ such that $p(a_\alpha - x) \to 0$ in $X$ (abbreviated by $z_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} x$), it holds that $x \in A$.

(c) $B$ is said to be the $p$-closure of $A$ if $B$ is the intersection of all $p$-closed subsets of $X$ containing $A$.

In what follows, $X = (X, p, E)$ is an LNOVS. The next property is an analogy of the well-known property of normed OVSs. It is a direct extension of [4, Prop.1] and it has a similar proof, which is omitted.

**Proposition 1** Let the positive cone $X^+$ in an LNOVS $X = (X, p, E)$ be $p$-closed. Then any monotone $p$-convergent net in $X$ is $o$-convergent to its $p$-limit.

We continue with further basic notions in LNOVSs, which are motivated by their analogies for vector lattices and for LNVLs (see, for example, [4–6,19,20,25–27]).

**Definition 2** (a) A subset $A \subseteq X$ is $p$-bounded if there exists $e \in E$ such that $p(a) \leq e$ for all $a \in A$.

(b) $X$ is $p$-semimonotone if there is $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq y \leq x \in X$ implies $p(y) \leq Mp(x)$.

(c) $X$ is $p$-normal if $x_\alpha \leq y_\alpha \leq z_\alpha$ in $X$, $x_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} u$, and $z_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} u$ imply $y_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} u$.

(d) $X$ is a $p$-Levi-space if every $p$-bounded increasing net in $X^+$ is $p$-convergent.

(e) $X$ is $op$-continuous if $x_\alpha \overset{o}{\to} 0$ implies that $x_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} 0$. 
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(f) $X$ is $\sigma$-op-continuous if $x_n \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$ implies that $x_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

(g) A net $(x_n)_{n \in A}$ in $X$ is said to be $p$-Cauchy if $(x_n - x_{n'})_{(n,n') \in A \times A} \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

(h) $X$ is $p$-complete if every $p$-Cauchy net in $X$ is $p$-convergent.

**Lemma 1** Let $X$ be a $p$-semimonotone LNOVS with the semimonotonicity constant $M$. Then form $a \leq x \leq b$ in $X$ follows that $p(x) \leq 2(M + 1)(p(a) \lor p(b))$.

**Proof** Since $a \leq x \leq b$, then $0 \leq x - a \leq b - a$ and

$$p(x) - p(a) \leq p(x - a) \leq M(p(b - a) \leq M(p(b) + p(a)).$$

Hence

$$p(x) \leq M(p(b) + p(a)) + p(a) \leq (M + 1)(p(b) + p(a)) \leq 2(M + 1)(p(a) \lor p(b)).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2** Let $X$ be a $p$-semimonotone LNOVS and $\pm x_\alpha \leq y_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Then $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

**Proof** By Lemma 1, $-y_\alpha \leq x_\alpha \leq y_\alpha$ implies $p(x_\alpha) \leq 2(M + 1)p(y_\alpha)$. Since $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $2(M + 1)p(y_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$ and hence $p(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$. Thus, $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$. $\square$

Definition 2(c) is motivated by the property (cf. [3, Thm.2.23]) of normal normed OVSs. Note that, without lost of generality, one may suppose that, in Definition 2(c), $u = 0$ and $x_\alpha \equiv 0$. Therefore, Lemma 2 ensures that any $p$-semimonotone LNOVS is $p$-normal (in particular, any LNVL is $p$-normal). Thus, the $p$-normality coincides with the usual normality in a normed OVS $(X,p,E) = (X,\| \cdot \|)$. In this case $X$ is $p$-normal iff it is $p$-semimonotone (cf. [35, Thm.IV.2.1]).

It was established in [4, Lm.2] that an LNVL $(X,p,E)$ is op-continuous iff $X \ni w_\beta \downarrow 0 \Rightarrow w_\beta \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

In order to extend this result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** Let an LNOVS $X = (X,p,E)$ be $p$-semimonotone and $w_\beta$ a net in $X$. If $w_\beta \downarrow 0$ implies $w_\beta \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $X$ is op-continuous.

**Proof** Let $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$. Then there are two nets $y_\beta \downarrow 0$ and $z_\gamma \downarrow 0$ in $X$ such that, for every $\beta$ and $\gamma$, there exists $\alpha_{\beta,\gamma}$ with

$$-y_\beta \leq x_\alpha \leq z_\gamma \quad (\forall \alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta,\gamma}).$$

By Lemma 1,

$$p(x_\alpha) \leq 2(M + 1)(p(y_\beta) \lor p(z_\gamma)) \quad (\forall \alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta,\gamma}). \quad (2)$$

By the assumption, $p(y_\beta) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$ and $p(z_\gamma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$. Then $p(y_\beta) \lor p(z_\gamma) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$. It follows from (2) that $p(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0$. Therefore, $X$ is op-continuous.

Hence we have the following result.

**Theorem 1** A $p$-semimonotone LNOVS $X = (X,p,E)$ is op-continuous iff, for any net $x_\alpha \in X$, the condition $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ implies $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$.  
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Clearly, the op-continuity in LNOVSs is equivalent to the order continuity in the sense of [26, 2.1.4, p.48]. For p-complete LNOVSs, we have more conditions for op-continuity (see also [4, Thm.1] for the LNVL case).

**Theorem 2** Let an LNOVS $X = (X, p, E)$ be p-complete and p-semimonotone. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $X$ is op-continuous;

(ii) if $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow x$ holds in $X$, then $x_\alpha$ is a p-Cauchy net;

(iii) $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ in $X$ implies $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

The proof is similar to the proof of [4, Thm.1] and we omit it.

The following two results generalize [4, Cor.1], [4, Cor.2], and [4, Prop.2] respectively.

**Theorem 3** Let an LNOVS $(X, p, E)$ be op-continuous, p-complete, and p-semimonotone. Then $X$ is Dedekind complete.

**Proof** Assume $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow u$; then, by Theorem 2(ii), $x_\alpha$ is a p-Cauchy net and, since $X$ is p-complete, there exists $x$ such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} x$. It follows from Proposition 1 that $x_\alpha \uparrow x$, and so $X$ is Dedekind complete. 

**Theorem 4** Any p-semimonotone p-Levi LNOVS $(X, p, E)$ with p-closed $X^+$ is op-continuous.

The proof is similar to the proof of [4, Cor.2] and therefore it is omitted.

**Proposition 2** Any p-semimonotone p-Levi LNOVS $(X, p, E)$ with p-closed $X^+$ is Dedekind complete.

**Proof** Let $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow z \in X$. Then $p(x_\alpha) \leq Mp(z)$. Hence the net $x_\alpha$ is p-bounded and therefore $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} x$ for some $x \in X$. By Proposition 1, $x_\alpha \uparrow x$. 

3. Nonstandard hulls of LNSs and of dominated operators acting between them

Order- and regular-nonstandard hulls of LNSs were introduced in [10] as certain generalizations of Luxemburg’s nonstandard hull of a normed space [29]. Here we employ a different approach for extending Luxemburg’s construction to LNSs. In the rest of the paper, we suppose all LNSs to be normed by Dedekind complete vector lattices. To be certain, we fix a Dedekind complete vector lattice $E$ for the norming lattice for all LNSs in what follows. While considering an internal LNS $(X, p, \mathcal{E})$, we always assume that its norming lattice is standard, i.e. $\mathcal{E} = {^*E}$.

3.1. Some external vector spaces associated with OVSs and LNSs

We begin with several basic constructions from [10, 16, 18]. Let $Y$ be an OVS. Consider the following external real vector subspaces of $^*Y$ [18].

$$\text{fin}(^*Y) := \{ \kappa \in ^*Y : (\exists y \in Y) - y \leq \kappa \leq y \},$$

$$\eta(^*Y) := \{ \kappa \in ^*Y : \inf_{Y} \{ y \in Y : -y \leq \kappa \leq y \} = 0 \},$$

$$\text{o-pns}(^*Y) := \{ \kappa \in ^*Y : \inf_{Y} \{ y' - y : Y \ni \exists y \leq \kappa \leq y' \in Y \} = 0 \},$$

and $\overline{Y} := \text{fin}(^*Y) / \eta(^*Y)$. 
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Let $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, p, *E)$ be an internal LNS. In accordance with [10, 12], consider the following external subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$:

$$\text{fin}(\mathcal{X}) = \{ x \in \mathcal{X} : p(x) \in \text{fin}(E) \},$$

$$\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{X}) = \{ x \in \mathcal{X} : p(x) \in \eta(E) \},$$

In the case of a standard LNS $X = (X, p, E)$,

$$\mathfrak{o} - \mathfrak{pns}(\ast X) = \{ \kappa \in \ast X : \inf_E \{ p(\kappa - x) : x \in X \} = 0 \}.$$

Remark that, similarly to the case in which $X = (X, p, E)$ is a normed space (cf. [1, Prop.2.2.2.]), it can be easily shown that $X$ is $p$-complete iff $\mathfrak{o} - \mathfrak{pns}(\ast X) = X + \mathfrak{n}(\ast X)$.

### 3.2. Nonstandard hull of an LNS

For an internal LNS $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, p, *E)$, consider the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{X}} := \text{fin}(\mathcal{X})/\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{X})$ and define the mapping $\overline{p} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to E$ by the following rule motivated by formula (1) (see also [12, Thm.2.3.5.] and [10, 3.1]):

$$\overline{p}([x]) := \inf_E \{ e \in E : e \geq p(x) \} \quad (x \in \text{fin}(\mathcal{X})).$$

(1*)

It is easy to see that this mapping is a well-defined $E$-valued norm on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$.

**Definition 3** Given an LNS $(X, p, E)$. The LNS $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \overline{p}, E)$ is called the nonstandard hull of $(X, p, E)$.

According to [10, Thm.3.5], the nonstandard hull of $(X, p, E)$ is a Banach–Kantorovich space, when $p$ is decomposable. The main reason for using the term ”nonstandard hull” lies in [12, Thm.2.4.1.] (see also [10, Thm.4.3]), saying that, in the case of decomposable LNS $(X, p, E)$, the $p$-completion of $(X, p, E)$ can be obtained by natural embedding of $(X, p, E)$ into $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \overline{p}, E)$, and then by just taking its $p$-closure there.

### 3.3. Nonstandard hulls of dominated operators between decomposable LNSs

Given two LNSs $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, m, E)$. Let $T : X \to Y$ be a dominated operator (cf. [26, 4.4.1.]). Under the assumption of decomposable $X$, $T$ has an exact dominant $|T|$ (cf. [26, 4.4.2.]) and, in that case, the space $M(X, Y)$ can be considered a decomposable LNS $(M(X, Y), |\cdot|, L_b(E))$.

Denote by $M_n(\ast X, \ast Y)$ the set of all internal linear operators from $\ast X$ into $\ast Y$ that admit standard order-continuous dominants, that is: $T \in M_n(\ast X, \ast Y)$ iff there is an operator $S \in L(E, F)$ satisfying $\text{*}m(T \kappa) < \text{*}S(\text{*}p(\kappa))$ for all $\kappa \in \ast X$. The following lemma was proved in [12, Lm.2.4.2.].

**Lemma 4** For every operator $T \in M_n(\ast X, \ast Y)$, $T(\text{fin}(\ast X)) \subseteq \text{fin}(\ast Y)$ and $T(\mathfrak{n}(\ast X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}(\ast Y)$.

Lemma 4 ensures that, for any operator $T \in M_n(\ast X, \ast Y)$, the rule

$$\overline{T}([\kappa]) := [Tk] \quad (\kappa \in \text{fin}(\ast X))$$

defines a mapping $\overline{T} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$. By [12, Thm.2.4.3.], $\overline{T}$ is a linear dominated operator from $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \overline{p}, E)$ into $(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \overline{m}, E)$ with the least dominant $|T|$ satisfying

$$|T| \leq \inf \{ S \in L_n(E) : \ast S \geq |T| \},$$

(3)
where \(|T|\) is the least internal dominant of \(T\). The operator \(T\) is said to be the nonstandard hull of \(T\). Since \(T \in M_n(X, Y)\) iff \(^*T \in M_n(^*X, ^*Y)\), inequality (3) implies that \(|^*T| = |T|\) for any \(T \in M_n(X, Y)\) (see also [12, Thm.2.4.4.]).

4. Nonstandard hulls of LNOVSs

4.1. Nonstandard hull of an LNOVS

Let \(\mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) be an internal \(p\)-semimonotone LNOVS with a finite constant \(M \in \text{fin}(\mathbb{R})\) of the semimonotonicity. The key step is the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5 \(\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) is an order ideal in \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})\).

Proof Since \(\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) is a real vector subspace of \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})\), it is enough to show that \(\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) is order convex. Let \(\xi \leq \kappa \leq \zeta\) with \(\kappa \in \mathcal{Y}\), and \(\xi, \zeta \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\). By Lemma 1,

\[ p(\kappa) \leq 2(M + 1)(p(\xi) \lor p(\zeta)) \leq 2(st(M) + 2)(p(\xi) \lor p(\zeta)) \in \eta(\^*E), \]

and hence \(\kappa \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\).

Theorem 5 Let \((\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) be a \(p\)-semimonotone LNOVS with a finite constant \(M\) of semimonotonicity. Then \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})/\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) is an OVS. Moreover, the LNOVS \((\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \overline{p}, E)\) is \(p\)-semimonotone with a constant \(M = \text{st}(M)\) of semimonotonicity.

Proof \(\overline{\mathcal{Y}} = \text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})/\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) is an OVS, by Lemma 4. Now let \(0 \leq \lceil \kappa \rceil \leq \lceil \xi \rceil \in \overline{\mathcal{Y}}\). By the definition of ordering in the quotient space \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})/\mathfrak{n}(\mathcal{Y})\) (cf. [17, p.3]), we may assume that \(0 \leq \kappa \leq \xi\). Hence \(\frac{1}{M}p(\kappa) \leq p(\xi)\) and then, for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[ \overline{p}(\lceil \xi \rceil) = \inf_{E} \{ e \in E : e \geq p(\xi) \} \geq \inf_{E} \{ e \in E : e \geq M^{-1}p(\kappa) \} \geq (\frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{n}) \inf_{E} \{ e \in E : e \geq p(\kappa) \} = (\frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{n}) \overline{p}(\lceil \kappa \rceil). \]

Since the inequality is true for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we obtain \(\overline{p}(\lceil \xi \rceil) \geq (\frac{1}{M} \overline{p}(\lceil \kappa \rceil))\) or \(\overline{p}(\lceil \kappa \rceil) \leq M \overline{p}(\lceil \xi \rceil)\), as desired.

Corollary 1 Let \((\mathcal{Y}, p, E)\) be a \(p\)-semimonotone LNOVS. Then \((\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \overline{p}, E)\) is also a \(p\)-semimonotone LNOVS with the same constant of semimonotonicity.

Proof Let \(M\) be a semimonotonicity constant of \((\mathcal{Y}, p, E)\). By the transfer principle, \(\mathcal{M} = M\) is a semimonotonicity constant of \((\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \overline{p}, E)\). Now apply Theorem 5.

Corollary 2 Let \((\mathcal{Y}, \| \cdot \|)\) be a normal OVS. Then its nonstandard hull \(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}\) is a normal Banach space.

Proof Note that any OVS is normal iff it is semimonotone (cf. [35, Thm.IV.2.1.]) and apply Theorem 5.
4.2. Internal LNVLs

Here we consider some properties of LNS \((\mathcal{Y}, p, E)\) in the case where \((\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) is an internal LNVL.

**Theorem 6** Let \((\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) be an internal LNVL. Then \((\mathcal{Y}, \bar{p}, E)\) is also an LNVL.

**Proof** Note that the quotient \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})/n(\mathcal{Y})\) of a vector lattice \(\text{fin}(\mathcal{Y})\) by an order ideal \(n(\mathcal{Y})\) is a vector lattice. Since \((\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) has a semimonotonicity constant \(M = 1\), then, by Theorem 5, the LNOVS \((\mathcal{Y}, \bar{p}, E)\) has a semimonotonicity constant \(M = 1\), which means that \(\bar{p}(|\kappa|) \leq \bar{p}(|\xi|)\) for all \(\kappa, \xi\) with \(|\kappa| \leq |\xi|\). Therefore, \(\bar{p}\) is an \(E\)-valued lattice norm on \(\mathcal{Y}\) and \((\mathcal{Y}, \bar{p}, E)\) is an LNVL.

The following proposition generalizes [23, Prop.4.7] for LNVL.

**Proposition 3** Let \((\mathcal{Y}, p, ^*E)\) be an internal LNVL. Then the LNVL \((\mathcal{Y}, \bar{p}, E)\) is \(\sigma - \text{op}-\text{continuous}, and every monotone \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(\mathcal{Y}\) is order-bounded.

**Proof** First we show \(\sigma - \text{op}\)-continuity. Clearly, it is enough to show that \(\mathcal{Y} \ni x_n \downarrow 0\) implies \(\bar{p}(x_n) \downarrow 0\).

Now suppose \(\mathcal{Y} \ni x_n \downarrow 0\) and \(\bar{p}(x_n) \leq u \in E\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then \(0 < |\chi| \leq |\kappa_n| = x_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Consider the sequence of nonempty internal sets

\[A_n = \{\chi \in \mathcal{Y} : 2p(\chi) \geq u \land 0 \leq \chi \leq \kappa_n\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).\]

By saturation principle there exists \(\chi \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\). Then \(0 \leq |\chi| \leq |\kappa_n| = x_n\) violating \(x_n \downarrow 0\). Therefore, \(\bar{p}(x_n) \downarrow 0\).

For the second part, let \(\mathcal{Y} \ni x_n \downarrow 0\) and \(\bar{p}(x_n) \leq u \in E\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then \(0 \leq |\chi| \leq |\kappa_n| = x_n\) and \(p(\kappa_n) \leq 2u\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). By the saturation principle there is \(\chi \in \mathcal{Y}\) with \(p(\chi) \leq 2u\) and \(\chi \leq \kappa_n \leq \kappa_1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Hence \(x_n = [\kappa_n] \in [\chi, [\kappa_1]\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), which is required. \(\square\)

4.3. Nonstandard criterion for \(\text{op}\)-continuity

The following theorem generalizes [12, Thm.4.5.3].

**Theorem 7** An LNVL \((X, p, E)\) is \(\text{op}-\text{continuous} iff \(\eta(\check{X}) \subseteq n(\check{X})\).

**Proof** Suppose that \((X, p, E)\) is \(\text{op}-\text{continuous} and fix \(\kappa \in \eta(\check{X})\). Then there exists a net \(x_\alpha \in \check{X}\) such that \(x_\alpha \downarrow 0\) and \(0 \leq \kappa \leq x_\alpha\). Clearly, \(x_\alpha \downarrow 0\), and so we have \(x_\alpha \downarrow 0\) since \(p\) is \(\text{op}-\text{continuous}\). Since \(0 \leq p(\kappa) \leq p(x_\alpha)\), it follows that \(p(\kappa) \in \eta(\check{X})\) or \(\kappa \in n(\check{X})\). Hence \(\eta(\check{X}) \subseteq n(\check{X})\).

Now suppose \(\eta(\check{X}) \subseteq n(\check{X})\) and \(X \ni x_\alpha \downarrow 0\). Then there are two nets \(y_\beta \downarrow 0\) and \(z_\gamma \downarrow 0\) in \(X\) such that, for every \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\), there exists \(\alpha_{\beta, \gamma}\) with

\[-y_\beta \leq x_\alpha \leq z_\gamma \quad (\alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta, \gamma}).\]

Thus, \(x_\alpha \in \eta(\check{X})\) for all infinitely large \(\alpha\). Hence, by the hypothesis, \(x_\alpha \in n(\check{X})\) for all infinitely large \(\alpha\). Therefore, \(p(x_\alpha) \to 0\) and \((X, p, E)\) is \(\text{op}-\text{continuous}\). \(\square\)
We finish with a discussion of the \( p \)-Levi property. Let \((X, p, E)\) be \( p \)-Levi and \((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}\) be a monotone \( p \)-bounded net in \( X \). Then \( x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} x \) for some \( x \in X \). By the transfer principle, \( x_\alpha \in \text{fin}(\ast X) \) for all \( \alpha \in \ast A \). Given an infinitely large \( \nu \). Since \( x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} x \), then \( x_\nu \in x + \text{n}(\ast X) \subseteq o - \text{pns}(\ast X) \). We do not know under which conditions on \((X, p, E)\) the converse is also true.
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