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Abstract: The aim of this study is to investigate the empathetic tendency levels of physical education teacher candidates according to some variables. Study group consists of 117 pre service physical education and sports students (74 women and 43 men) of Kafkas University in the fall semester of 2015/2016 academic year. “Personal Information Form” and the “Empathic Tendency Scale” which developed by Dokmen was used to collect data. In the evaluation of data, firstly, normality hypothesis and Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests for homogeneity of variances have been used. In paired comparisons, independent samples t-test and in comparison of more than one group, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey multiple comparison test have been used. SPSS 21 statistical package program was used and the results were considered significant at p <.05 level. As a result of the study, it can be said that gender, age, class, place of education, parent education level and family monthly income variables did not affect the empathetic tendency levels of the physical education teacher candidates.
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Introduction

Good education is an important element of societal development. Education have many important goals and one of them is to train sensitive and understanding individuals who have communication ability in society. It is possible by bringing the concept of empathy. Empathy has been described by researchers in different forms that determines relationship between people and social life. Empathy means, being aware of the thoughts and feelings of the others and their possible meanings (Solak, 2011). It is skills that both children and adults must have in order to establish healthy relationships with other people. For the development of interpersonal relationships, it is important that the individual can realize the feelings of himself/herself and others (Onder and Gulay, 2007).

Empathy has been defined in different forms in the historical process. Studying empathy throughout his professional life, the understanding of empathy Rogers reached in the 70’s years has today become a recognition that most researchers working on the subject have agreed on. According to this definition, which does not have a solid quality, empathy is evaluated as the process that, person puts himself or herself in the place of the person opposite, understanding that person’s feelings and thoughts correctly, feeling them and conveying this situation to that person (Dokmen, 2005). Barrett Lennard (1993) stated that this process took place in four stages while empathizing with the individual. The first stage is an individual's realizing and understanding of his or her feelings; the second stage is establishing communication; the third stage is the individual's feeling that he or she is heard and understood; the fourth is the individual's self-expression (Mete and Gerek, 2005).

The concept of empathy is generally described as the adequacy of understanding the feelings of another person and psychologically perceive the role without impersonating (Barut, 2004). Those who have the ability to empathize are more successful than those who cannot develop empathy; they get along well with other people, fight less and share more. People who do not develop empathy do not care about the feelings of other people. In addition, empathy enables the decrease aggressive behavior (Ozpek,2003). Duru (2004) states that as the level of empathetic tendency rises, the behavior of helping people also increases. In this sense, empathy should not be regarded as an activity that is merely beneficial to the person to whom empathy is shown; empathy is also very important for the person who will show empathy (Dincyurek, 2004; Kocak and Onen, 2013). The individuals, whose empathy skills are developed, also perform communication performances at a high level in business and social environments (Dogan et al., 2010).
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Empathy for the teacher emerges as an important feature in order to know the student and to make a student-centered education or even to plan appropriate training for his or her group. The teacher should be both empathetic and clear in his or her communication with the child. He or she should be able to express himself or herself well and use communication skills to collaborate (Saltalio and Erbay, 2013). When the teaching profession is compared to some other professions, teachers need to have more empathic skills. The most important part of teachers’ roles is to create a positive and safe classroom atmosphere by taking care of students, establishing empathic relations with them, entering their personal worlds (Akbulut and Sagu, 2010). Teachers should not expect their students to earn and use the empathic tendency levels on their own; they should contribute to their development.

The relationship between the teaching profession and the empathic tendency is known to be of great importance for teacher candidates who are studying at teacher training institutions. In literature, Physical Education and Sport Departments have high life quality (Korkut et al, 2004). Particularly, physical education teaching, which is achieved with a special aptitude test and requires a certain amount of sports accumulation, focuses on understanding and interpreting students in the best possible way. It is necessary that physical education teachers also put themselves in the place of students and understand them to improve the empathic skills. In this sense, there is a great deal of work for physical education teachers and teacher candidates. When we regard physical education teachers as "self-confident individuals who are able to act appropriately in any social situation, who can correctly and fully interpret the emotional expressions of other individuals, who are affected emotionally by other individuals and empathically express the emotional states of others, individuals who have close, social or conversational skills to initiate and direct conversations on any topic" (Avsar, 2004) improving the empathic tendency levels of students depends on training physical education teachers who have these skills.

When the literature is examined, although there are many pieces of research made with the empathic tendency, the studies that are concerned with candidates are limited. Based on this, the aim of this research is to examine the empathic tendency levels of the physical education and sports teacher candidates in terms of some variables.

**Methodology**

**Research Goal**

Screening model was used in this study. The event, subject to the study is defined as it is in its own conditions. It includes data collection to test hypotheses or answer questions regarding the situation in the study (Nazik and Arli, 2004).

**Research Group**

Study consists of 117 (43 men, 74 women) teacher candidates having education in Kafkas University Physical Education and Sports Department in 2015-2016 academic year. Students from different class participated into the study (1st grade 19, 2nd grade 37, 3rd grade 36, 4th grade 25).

**Collection of Data**

The means of data collection in the survey were applied to the students on a voluntary basis after explaining the content and purpose of the study. It was applied at the end of the exam on the last day of the fall semester midterm examinations. As a data collection tool, the Personal Information Form developed by the researchers and the Empathic Tendency Scale which was developed by Docmen (1988), were applied to the participants.

**Personal Information Form**

It has been prepared by the researchers to learn some of the variables of the participants who were thought to be influential in the research. The empathic tendency levels were examined according to the variables of gender, age, class, place of education, educational status of parents and family monthly income.

**Empathic Tendency Scale (ETS)**

Empathic Tendency Scale was developed by Dokmen (1988) to measure the empathy in daily life. It is consist of 20 likert type questions and each one is scored 1 to 5. The questions 3., 6., 7., 8., 11., 12., 13., 15 are added up in reverse. The score is between 20-100. The reliability of ETS was achieved by Dokmen (1988) applying to 70 people for three weeks using the scale re-test method. The reliability of the scale was found 0,82 at the end of the analysis made. The scale reliability among participants’ scores on single and double scales were found 86. The validity study of ETS was again done by Dokmen (1988). Calculated repetitive Cronbach Alpha value of the Empathic Tendency Scale (EMS) inventory applied in the study was found to be 0,771.

**Analyzing of Data**

Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests were applied to the data obtained from the study first to check the hypothesis of normality and the homogeneity assumptions of variances (P>0.05). Independent sample t-test was used in paired
comparisons and one-way ANOVA. In all statistical calculations, SPSS 21 statistical package program was used and significant level was calculated as p<0.05.

Findings / Results

Table 1. The empathic tendency levels according to gender variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67.86</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69.44</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 1, there was no significance in gender variable based on the result of the t-test. (p > 0.05).

Table 2. The empathic tendency levels according to “the place of education” variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The place of education</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>68.58</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>0.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>69.33</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>67.42</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In table 2, no significant differences in “the place of education” variable based on the ANOVA test. (p>0.05).

Table 3. The empathic tendency levels according to age variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17-18 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67.10</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 years</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>67.11</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68.62</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68.95</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 and above</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72.08</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 3, the empathic tendency levels of the participants do not show significance according to age (p > 0.05). However, it is seen that empathic tendency levels are improved in parallel with age.

Table 4. The empathic tendency levels according to class variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67.94</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>68.48</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69.28</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 4 is examined, the empathic tendency levels according to the class variable points do not show significant (p > 0.05). However, it is observed that the levels of empathic tendency gradually develop between 1st and 4th grade.

Table 5. The empathic tendency levels according to “educational status of mothers” variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational status of mother</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not literate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68.85</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69.11</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.88</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78.50</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 5, there was no difference found according to educational status (p > 0.05). The average score of empathic tendency of students whose mothers are graduated from university or post graduate education is higher than the others.
According to the results of Table 6, there was no difference found in the variable of father education status (p > 0.05).

Table 7. The empathic tendency levels according to “monthly income” variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Income</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000-1500</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68,4167</td>
<td>7,45372</td>
<td>57,00</td>
<td>81,00</td>
<td>0,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501-2000</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>68,4474</td>
<td>7,75886</td>
<td>53,00</td>
<td>88,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2500</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>69,7143</td>
<td>6,98979</td>
<td>58,00</td>
<td>82,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2501 ve Uzeri</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>67,4286</td>
<td>10,49333</td>
<td>50,00</td>
<td>85,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 7 was examined, there was no significant relationship between the participants’ monthly income and empathic tendency levels (p > 0.05).

Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, the empathic tendencies of Physical Education Teacher candidates were examined according to gender, age, class, place of education, parent education level and family monthly income variables and the results were discussed. There was no significant difference empathic tendency levels and all the variables mentioned above (p > 0.05).

In his study Yilmaz (2008) examined empathic tendency levels according to gender and detected the empathic tendency points averages of the females (71.96±7.41) higher than those of the males (71.04±7.63). However, the difference between them is not significant. In the study conducted by Arslanoğlu (2010) and Ekinci (2009), on teacher candidates and in the study conducted by Karabulut and Pulur (2016) on sporters, they stated that empathic tendencies were significant in favor of women; Ayas et al. (2016) and Yasar and Erol (2015) did not find any difference between the genders in their studies. In their research, Turkeli et al. (2017) examined the empathic tendency levels of physical education teacher candidates who had pedagogical formation training and they could not find any significant difference in terms of gender variable. In a different study, Genc (2010), found that there was a difference in the opinions of teacher candidates about the empathic skills according to the gender and teaching styles of their department. However, there was no difference in the empathic skills according to the classes, departments they study, the level of education of their mothers and fathers. In the study that Müftü et al. (2014) could not find a significant relationship between age, sports age and empathic tendency levels (p>0.05). Also, Yasar and Erol (2015) could not detect a difference in pre-school teachers according to age variable. The study we carried out supports the literature. It is also an expected result that the empathic tendency levels of the individuals whose average age is close to each other do not differ.

In the research Gıftci et al. (2015) conducted; they could not detect a significant difference in the university first-year students’ skills of developing empathy and according to these results, they have proposed practical activities, seminars and training studies to improve the skills of university students to communicate and empathize. Tutuk et al. (2002) found that, empathic tendency levels of nursing students increases as the class level increases. Although there was no significant difference in our study, it has been seen that the empathic tendency average scores increased regularly when the class increased. It shows that the students in Physical Education and Sport Department are equipped with more advanced pedagogical formation knowledge and profession skills.

In our study, there is no significant difference in empathic tendency levels according to educational status of participant’s parents. Only in educational level of the mother, it has been revealed that the average empathic tendencies of the participants, whose mothers are university graduates, are higher than other graduation statuses. Mothers having higher education level affect their children’s empathic tendency levels positively. This result emphasizes the importance of the mother figure in child development.

According to monthly income, no differences were found in the empathetic tendency levels of subjects. In literature, some researchers say that individuals with middle-level income have better empathy (Onay et al. 2015), while others say that there is no such relationship (Carikci and Atilla, 2009). So, our research is valuable in terms of contributing to the literature. There are many other studies done in the literature on empathic tendencies. Some of these are discussed...
in this section. In his study, Onay (2015) concluded that the empathetic tendency levels of the prospective teachers differed significantly according to the department, in which they had education and family income variables; however, they did not differ according to the gender, age, and place they spend their life in. Yiğitbas and et al. (2013) stated that health education students were moderate according to empathetic tendency levels. Saygılı (2015) detected that women teachers had higher levels of empathetic tendency than male teachers and married teachers than single teachers. Demirci et al. (2017) conducted, they found that family attitudes were influential on the empathy tendencies of the child development students participating in the research. According to the survey carried out by Turkeli et al. (2017), the empathetic tendency levels of physical education teacher candidates who got pedagogical formation training were in favor of the graduates in the comparison between the participants who graduated from university and continued to study at university.

As a result; gender, age, class, place of education, parent education level and family monthly income variables do not affect the empathetic tendency levels of physical education teacher candidates. While issues related to the empathetic tendency are conveyed to the Physical Education teacher candidates, the adoption of methods through which candidates can put themselves in the place of students during their undergraduate education, can help developing the empathetic tendencies of the candidates.

At the end of the study, some suggestions can be given. As Turkeli et al. (2017) mentioned in his study, teaching programs applied in higher education institutions that educate teachers must support the empathetic tendency. Learning strategies, methods, and classroom management that are effective in providing students with empathetic tendency skills must be included in the activities. The number of courses such as the drama given to improve the empathetic tendencies of the physical education teacher candidates must be increased or drama must be used as an expression, teaching method in which the individual is more active. Thus, teacher candidates can be trained as individuals who can think scientifically and critically, and have the skills to develop creativity and participation.
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