An unusual pacemaker malposition and delayed diagnosis
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ABSTRACT

Transvenous right ventricular pacing usually shows a left bundle branch block (LBBB) pattern. When right bundle branch block (RBBB) pattern appears after the insertion of an electrode, perforation or malposition of the pacing lead usually occurs. However, when the pacing lead that is inserted into the coronary sinus or right ventricle extends to right ventricle septum, RBBB pattern may appear. Echocardiography, due to inadequate echo images or reflections, may result in early clinical misdiagnosis since it cannot be evaluated well. Another reason for the errors in diagnosis is that cardiologists generally relegate telegraphy evaluations to a second plan. Here, we present a case of pacemaker malposition, which was diagnosed using X-ray radiography after multiple failed evaluations with echocardiography.
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INTRODUCTION

Inadequate Echocardiography images or reflections, may result in early clinical misdiagnosis since it cannot be evaluated well. Another reason for the errors in diagnosis is that cardiologists generally relegate telegraphy evaluations to a second plan. In our country in the controls of cardiac pacemaker, the first preference of cardiologists is generally echocardiography. However, due to restrictions such as inadequate echo images or reflections, this procedure often yields improper echocardiographic outcomes.

CASE REPORT

We report a case of a 71 year-old woman who underwent permanent transvenous VDD pacemaker implantation thirteen years ago and had a pacemaker battery change at another institution (four years ago). She was admitted for transient ischemic attacks (TIA). Until this time, only a few attacks were determined to have occurred. Physical examination was normal. An electrocardiogram (ECG) showed a typical right bundle branch block (RBBB) configuration (Figure 1).
raphy performed under anesthesia showed that the lead perforates the interatrial septum going from the right atrium to the left atrium and through the mitral valve into the left ventricle (Figure 3A, 3B).

Even with repeated echocardiography examinations, the pathology was not noticed due to inadequate echo images. In addition, the patient could not tolerate transoesophageal echo imaging procedure to be performed under polyclinic conditions. Cranial CT was detected to be normal, and the pacing lead was found to be placed in the left ventricle in an X-Ray radiography performed for another reason (Figure 2). Transoesophageal echocardiography performed under anesthesia showed that the lead perforates the interatrial septum going from the right atrium to the left atrium and through the mitral valve into the left ventricle (Figure 3A, 3B).

Figure 1. Electrocardiogram showed a typical right bundle branch block pattern

Figure 2. Anteroposterior chest X-ray shows a malpositioned left ventricular lead.

Figure 3A. Echocardiography shows the pacing lead going from the RA to the LA and the LV (RA, right atrium; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle)
DISCUSSION

Transvenous right ventricular pacing usually shows a LBBB pattern. When the QRS complex changes from LBBB to RBBB in cases, a complication occurs such as perforation of the free RV wall or of the interventricular septum by the pacing lead [1,2]. Placement of the pacing lead in the coronary sinus may also yield a RBBB pattern, and RBBB may be seen in ECG when pacemaker lead extends to the right ventricle [3]. Rarely, malposition may occur when the lead perforates the interatrial septum or is passed through an atrial septal defect inadvertently and extends across the left atrium and through the mitral valve into the left ventricle [4].

As a result of inadequate echo images or reflections in patients, satisfactory evaluations cannot be made. In this respect, Transoesophageal Echocardiography (TEE) should be recommended. TEE was also recommended to our case, but she could not tolerate it. Due to inadequate Transthoracic Echocardiography images of the patient, his transthoracic echo images were determined to be normal, yet X-Ray, which is fairly easy and cheap, was not performed. In our country, a cardiologists’ first preference is generally echocardiography as a cardiac pacemaker control. Due to restrictions such as inadequate echo imaging or reflections, sometimes satisfactory echocardiographic outcomes are likely not to be obtained. As the reason of RBBB pattern in ECG, a pacemaker lead is supposed to be extended to the right ventricle septum or inserted into coronary sinus.

The left ventricular location of the lead has been shown to be a predisposition to thrombus formation and development of any neurologic symptoms in a patient with a pacemaker [5]. Given the repeated TIA's, cranial CT was taken and found to be normal. A Cranial CT may appear normal for small emboli when taken at early period [6]. Thus, they often fall short for the correct diagnosis. In a standard X-Ray radiography taken in the outpatient clinic examination for another purpose, the placed pacing lead was observed in the LV position. TEE was performed under anesthesia and showed that the lead perforates the interatrial septum going from the right atrium to the left atrium and through the mitral valve into the left ventricle (figure 3A, 3B).

In conclusion, patients with RBBB pattern after transvenous RV pacing require careful evaluation to distinguish leads that are correctly placed and those that are improperly placed. Therefore, when the 12-lead ECG is noticed, one should be insistent to clarify the ECG changes. On the other hand, chest X-rays should not be overlooked or too simplistic for diagnosis. Echocardiography can facilitate the recognition of the lead position but in difficult cases, Transoesophageal Echocardiography might be needed.
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