Ultrasonographic assessment of spleen, kidney and liver size in licensed football players
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study was to find out the effect of training on dimensions of spleen, kidney and liver in licensed football players.

Methods: The study group consisted of 53 licensed male football players and 52 male non-athlete controls. The visceral organ measurements were evaluated by ultrasonography. Independent samples t test and linear regression analysis were used for statistical analysis.

Results: The mean age was 22.11±3.36 years in footballers and 22.71±3.92 years in controls. The spleen’s transverse axis length (4.89±0.52 cm) and liver’s anteroposterior length (11.9±2.35 cm) were significantly higher in the footballers compared to the controls (4.42±0.65 cm and 10.39±1.9 cm; p<0.001 and p<0.001; respectively). However, the lengths of the long axis of the right kidney (10.3±0.86 cm), long axis of the left kidney (10.4±0.77 cm) and the transverse axis length of the right kidney (4.05±0.57 cm) were significantly lower in the footballers compared to the controls (10.69±0.68 cm, 10.97±0.68 cm, 4.35±0.74 cm; p=0.012, p<0.001, p=0.02; respectively). Furthermore, weekly training time was negatively correlated with transverse axis lengths of the right kidney (Beta=-0.656; p<0.001) and of the left kidney (Beta=-0.275; p=0.042).

Conclusion: We consider that knowing the normal sizes of the visceral organs of footballers will be useful in determining the appropriate diagnosis and treatment and in accelerating the footballers to come back to competitions.
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Introduction

Football game is one of the most popular sports in the world. Modern football game requires strength, power, and speed from players.¹ Intensive training programs cause significant alterations in various tissues from microscopic to macroscopic scales. These alterations have been attributed to increased metabolic rate of striated muscle.² Internal organs such as liver and kidney respond to increased demand by functional alterations.²,³ For instance, the responses of liver to increased demand due to sportive performance are increased glucose synthesis and glycogen degradation, caused by fall in insulin and rise in glucagon blood levels.³ Exercise induces significant decrease in renal plasma flow, glomerular filtration rate and consequently diminished urine output as well.⁴,⁵

In many diseases, the size and morphology of these visceral organs also undergo significant changes. Perceived findings with different viral illness are splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and renomegaly.⁶ The knowledge of the normal range of values of the various viscera is of importance in identification of early pathological changes, treatment and may prove very useful in making clinical management decisions regarding safe return to competitions.⁷ Physical examination, such as palpation or percussion might be lacking to identify...
these changes. Ultrasonography (USG) is a straightforward and solid approach to evaluate visceral organs with non-ionizing radiation. Another advantage is that it is available even in prime healthcare units.

The aim of this study was to find out the effect of training on dimensions of spleen, kidney and liver in football players. There are few studies in the literature on the visceral organ dimensions of athletes in various branches. Other studies mainly aimed to create reference values in healthy adults. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has evaluated spleen, kidney and liver dimensions in the football players.

Materials and Methods

Our study group consisted of 53 licensed football players, who were 18 years old or above, and playing in a football club in Kahramanmaraş or its nearby cities for at least five years. These players trained regularly for at least 5 h a week. 52 healthy men who did not perform any physical activity were included to the study as controls. The liver, spleen and both kidney measurements of the football players and the control group were evaluated using US. Body mass index (BMI) of every subject was calculated to determine obesity based on World Health Organization (WHO) obesity classification. BMI ranges were as follows; underweight: under 18.5 kg/m²; normal weight: 18.5 kg/m² to 24.99 kg/m²; overweight: 25 kg/m² to 30 kg/m²; and obese: over 30 kg/m². The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University. The written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. No sedation or preparation was applied before the examination. Patients who had no disease associated with the organs measured, and admitted to our radiology clinic with the request of USG for various reasons were examined. Patients with congenital anomalies or systemic diseases were not included in the examination. All organs examined were ultrasonographically in normal size, shape, and echostructure. Sonographic examinations were performed using an Aplio-400 (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). The Aplio-400 PVT-375BT Transducer/Probe had been used with a 3.5 MHz center frequency.

Liver measurements were made for the long axis and anteroposterior length. The long axis measurement of the liver was done on right mid-clavicular line while the patient was in the left lateral decubitus position. During this measurement, the longest distance between the right and left lobe extreme corners was measured by imaging the inferior vena cava and gallbladder on the same plane. The lateral segment of the left lobe was considered to be the extreme boundary in the medial, and the posterior inferior segment of the right lobe was considered to be the extreme boundary in the lateral margin (Figure 1a). The measurement for the anteroposterior length was performed on the midsagittal plane (horizontal plane passing through the xiphoid process) while the person was in the supine position. The measurement was performed at the origin points of the three hepatic veins by imaging on the same plane. In this position, the top edge of the liver under the diaphragm was considered the uppermost border (Figure 1b).
Spleen long axis measurements, on the other hand, were made by taking to the splenic hilum examination plan while the subjects were in supine position or slightly right lateral decubitus position. In this measurement, imaging of splenic vein invading splenic hilum was taken as the landmark. Long axis measurement was performed by measuring the distance between the most superomedial and inferolateral extremities of the spleen (Figure 2a). Measurement of the transverse axis of the spleen was performed by measuring the distance between the most superolateral border of the spleen and the hilum (Figure 2a).27

Both kidney measurements were obtained by imaging the coronal plane passing through the renal hilum while the subject was in supine position or in cubital position slightly in the right or left lateral direction. The extreme distance from the pole to the pole was considered as the long axis of the kidney (Figure 2b). Transverse axis length was obtained by measuring in such a way that it will be perpendicular to the long axis of the kidney in the hilar region (Figure 2b).27 All visceral organs measurements were carried out when the patient was in deep insipirium by same experienced radiologist.

Three sequential measurements were taken and the mean was calculated to ensure minimum intraobserver variation and greater accuracy and reliability of measurements.

For statistical analysis, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied for the suitability of normal distribution of variables. The case and control group comparisons in normal distributed variables were performed with independent samples t-test. Multivariate regression analysis was performed for the effect of training, age and BMI on visceral organ size. Autocorrelation was examined by the Durbin-Watson test. Descriptive statistics were expressed with mean±SD. Statistical significance level was accepted as p<0.05. Data were evaluated using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, version 22.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results
The study group consisted of 53 (50.5%) licensed football players who had been keeping up their active football careers in Kahramanmaraş or its nearby cities. Controls were 52 (49.5%) healthy men who did not perform any physical activity. The mean age was 22.11±3.36 years, BMI was 23.60±1.74 kg/m², weekly training time was 7.89±1.27 hours and the length of their football careers was 9.19±3.45 years in the footballers. The mean age of the control group was 22.71±3.92 years and BMI was 24.09±2.82 kg/m². There were no significant differences for age and BMI between footballers and controls (p=0.402, p=0.282, respectively) (Table 1).

The spleen’s transverse axis length (4.89±0.52 cm) and the liver’s anteroposterior length (11.9±2.35 cm) were significantly higher in the footballers compared to controls (4.42±0.65 cm, 10.39±1.9 cm; p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). However, the lengths of the long axis of the right kidney (10.3±0.86 cm), the long axis of the left kidney (10.4±0.77 cm) and the transverse axis of the right kidney (4.05±0.57 cm) were significantly lower in the footballers compared to controls (10.69±0.68 cm, 10.97±0.68 cm, 8.5±0.7 cm, respectively).
Weekly training time and age were positively correlated with the long axis of the spleen (Beta=0.280; Beta=0.043, r=0.283; p=0.04, respectively). Furthermore, weekly training time, age, and BMI were positively correlated with the anteroposterior length of the liver (Beta=0.558; p=0.001; Beta=0.388; p=0.001; Beta=0.258, p=0.006, respectively). BMI was positively correlated also with the long axis of the liver (Beta=0.292; p=0.04). Moreover, age was positively correlated with the long axis of the right kidney (Beta=0.415; p=0.003). On the other hand, weekly training time was negatively correlated with transverse axis lengths of the right (Beta=-0.656; p<0.001) and the left kidney (Beta=-0.275; p=0.042) (Table 3).

### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of training on dimensions of spleen, kidney, and liver in football players. We found that the spleen’s transverse axis length and the liver’s anteroposterior length in football players were higher compared to the controls. However, the lengths of the long axis of the right kidney, the long axis of the left kidney and the transverse axis of the right kidney were lower in the footballers compared to controls. Furthermore, weekly training time was negatively correlated with transverse axis lengths of the right and left kidneys.

Kidneys are located in the retroperitoneal space at the level of T12–L3 vertebrae. Changes in the size of the kidneys are important in diagnosis and follow up of many diseases. Size of the kidneys has a particular importance in the evaluation of clinical cases such as hypertension due to renal artery stenosis, renal failure, frequent urinary tract infection complaints, and tracking renal transplant recipients. Buchholz et al. in their study on 194 healthy subjects (98 males, 96 females) aged between 13 and 80 years reported a left kidney length of 10.4±0.7 cm and a width of 4.7±0.5 cm for subjects aged 21–30 years. The researchers measured the right kidney length as 10.3±0.6 cm.

### Table 1

Descriptive data of the study population using independent samples t test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=52)</th>
<th>Footballers (n=53)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (year)</strong></td>
<td>22.71±3.92</td>
<td>22.11±3.36</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td>72.36±10.82</td>
<td>70.02±8.02</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>176.87±6.74</td>
<td>175.81±6.86</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>24.09±2.82</td>
<td>23.60±1.74</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weekly training time (hours per week)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.89±1.27</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active football career (year)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.19±3.45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Correlation of visceral organ dimensions (cm) among the study population using independent samples t test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=52)</th>
<th>Footballers (n=53)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long axis length of spleen</strong></td>
<td>10.66±1.05</td>
<td>10.91±1.28</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transverse axis length of spleen</strong></td>
<td>4.42±0.65</td>
<td>4.89±0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long axis length of liver</strong></td>
<td>14.73±1.25</td>
<td>14.41±1.03</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anteroposterior length of liver</strong></td>
<td>10.39±1.9</td>
<td>11.9±2.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long axis length of right kidney</strong></td>
<td>10.69±0.68</td>
<td>10.30±0.86</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transverse axis length of right kidney</strong></td>
<td>4.35±0.74</td>
<td>4.05±0.57</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long axis length of left kidney</strong></td>
<td>10.97±0.68</td>
<td>10.4±0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transverse axis length of left kidney</strong></td>
<td>4.81±0.68</td>
<td>5.03±0.56</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05

### Table 3

Multivariate regression analysis of the effects of age, BMI and training time to visceral organ dimensions in football players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Model</th>
<th>Beta X1</th>
<th>Beta X2</th>
<th>Beta X3</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>P (X1)</th>
<th>P (X2)</th>
<th>P (X3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long axis length of spleen</td>
<td>Y=5.788+0.108<em>X1+0.288</em>X2</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse axis length of spleen</td>
<td>Y=5.409-0.016<em>X1-0.022</em>X2</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long axis length of liver</td>
<td>Y=11.626+0.011<em>X1+0.172</em>X2</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>-0.138</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior length of liver</td>
<td>Y=9.799+0.277<em>X1+0.346</em>X2</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long axis length of right kidney</td>
<td>Y=3.962+0.022<em>X1+0.015</em>X2</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse axis length of right kidney</td>
<td>Y=5.361+0.007<em>X1+0.051</em>X2</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>-0.656</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long axis length of left kidney</td>
<td>Y=5.978+0.057<em>X1+0.103</em>X2</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse axis length of left kidney</td>
<td>Y=5.139-0.039<em>X1+0.074</em>X2</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multivariate regression analysis and Durbin-Watson test. R²: explanatory coefficient; X1: age; X2: BMI; X3: training time. *p<0.05.
cm and the width as 4.3±0.8 cm. Udoaka et al.[17] measured the left kidney length as 10.4±1.08 cm, and width as 5.21±0.76 cm, the right kidney length as 10.0±0.99 cm and width as 5.68±0.7 cm. In other study performed on 140 healthy subjects (69 males, 71 females), the left kidney length of the 18–30 year-old group was reported as 9.78±0.75 cm and the width as 4.5±0.48 cm; the right kidney length was reported as 9.66±0.84 cm and width as 4.4±0.68 cm.[18] Yadav et al.[19] studied 110 healthy subjects between 15—80 years of age and measured the left kidney length has 9.97±0.77 cm, the width as 4.01±0.95 cm, the right kidney length as 9.88±1.01 cm and width as 4.06±0.63 cm. El-Reshaid and Abdul-Fattah,[20] in a study on 252 healthy subjects between 18–30 years of age, measured the left kidney length as 10.6±1.2 cm and the right kidney length as 10.75±1.3 cm. They measured the left kidney length as 10.8±1.1 cm and the right kidney length as 10.5±0.9 cm in healthy subjects between the ages of 60 and 81. They also reported that increasing of age does not affect the kidney length, but decreases the glomerular filtration rate. Additionally, in a study conducted in Europe, it has been reported that the normal kidney length for men should be between 9.2 cm and 13.3 cm and the normal kidney width for men should be between 3 and 7.1 cm.[29] Consistent with the studies in the literature, in our study, the control group’s long axis length of the right kidney was measured as 10.69±0.68 cm, transverse axis length was measured as 4.35±0.74 cm; long axis length of the left kidney was measured as 10.97±0.68 cm and the transverse axis length was measured as 4.81±0.68 cm. We think minor differences between the measurements in the studies depend on the race, gender, age, BMI and measurement technique used. We found that long axis and transverse axis lengths of the right kidney, and long axis length of the left kidney in football players were shorter than those of the non-athletes. It has been reported that moderate and high-intensity exercises included in the football training programs cause a marked decrease in the urine volume, the flow of the kidney plasma, the circulating blood flow in the kidneys and the renal filtration rate.[45] Also, the finding of the decreased renal cortical thickness of subjects with decreased glomerular filtration rate obtained from previous studies explains why the kidney lengths of footballers are smaller than those of the control group.[3,6,8,31] Furthermore, weekly training time was negatively correlated with transverse axis lengths of the right kidney and of the left kidney.

The liver is the largest organ in the human body that lies at the right hypochondriac region and it is divided into large right and small left lobes.[25] Changes in the normal sizes of the liver are an important indicator of disease development.[10] Knowing the normal sizes is important in surgical planning, in the tracking and treatment of the disease.[13,35] Hepatomegaly is a term used to describe an enlarged liver beyond its normal sizes (longitudinal length ≥16 cm).[11,22] Hepatomegaly alone is not a disease, but rather a potential indication of the process that causes it.[16] Many researchers have reported the long axis length of the liver as 145.15±16.22 mm,[18] 14.0±1.7 cm,[22] 13.7±1.42 cm,[37] 12.68±2.57 cm[22] and the anteroposterior length as 11.4±1.94 cm.[37] In our study, the long axis length of the liver was measured as 14.73±1.25 cm and the anteroposterior length as 10.39±1.90 cm in the control group in accordance with these studies. We found that the anteroposterior length of the liver in football players is longer than that of the controls. The liver is an important organ that contains enzymes that meet the increased energy needs of the body during high-density aerobic exercises.[10] Growth in the liver size is to meet the increased energy needs of the organ.[32] High protein diets are also given to the athletes’ diet, taking into account this high energy requirement.[11,38] It was reported that those who have been active in the American football team professionally for 2–3 years had heavier liver (0.29 kg), heart (0.08 kg) and kidney (0.09 kg) mass compared to the newcomers.[12] Furthermore, weekly training time, age, and BMI were positively correlated with the anteroposterior length of the liver. Also, BMI was positively correlated with the long axis of the liver. Not taking the blood sample from football players and the control groups for various tests including the visceral organs, not measuring the portal vein diameter, and not knowing the football players’ eating habits, training program, and their medical history were limitations of this study.

Spleen size is an integral part of abdominal ultrasonography because both enlarged and small spleens can be indicative of a variety of physical conditions.[6,10] In addition, splenomegaly (longitudinal length ≥13 cm) may be a risk factor for splenic rupture.[11] False-positive labeling of a patient as having splenomegaly can lead to conducting unnecessary medical tests and anxiety for the athletes as well as delaying for participation in contact sports activity.[10] In various studies, the long axis length of the spleen was reported as 10.76±1.84 cm,[46] 11.1±0.9 cm,[28] and the average as 10.9 cm[23] for healthy adults. There are also studies reporting transverse axis length as 5.27±0.93 cm,[23] 4.4 cm±0.5 cm[23] and the average as 4.5 cm.[23] In accordance with these studies, we found that the control group’s long axis length of the spleen was 10.66±1.05 cm and the transverse axis length was 4.42±0.65 cm. In previous studies on athletes, the long axis length of the spleen was reported as 10.82±1.55 cm,[14] 12.79±6.46 cm,[6] and the anteroposterior length was 11.1±0.9 cm.[17]

---
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11.4±1.7 cm,[9] and transverse axis length was 5.20±1.21 cm,[14] 5.77±6.70 cm[8] and 5±0.8 cm. [6] Consistent with these studies, the long axis length of the spleen was measured as 10.91±1.28 cm and the transverse axis length was measured as 4.89±0.52 cm in our study. In addition, the transverse axis length of the spleen in footballers was found higher than the control group, and weekly training time and age were positively correlated with the long axis of the spleen.

Conclusion
We conclude that knowing the normal sizes of the visceral organs of footballers will be useful in determining the appropriate diagnosis and treatment and accelerating the footballers come back to competitions.
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