Are we familiar with this rare indicative of a higher risk for breast cancer?
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ABSTRACT

Secretory adenosis (SA) of breast is rarely seen benign breast lesion, which might be associated with increased risk for breast carcinoma. SA is an extremely rare lesion, the cases reported in the literature and long-term follow-up studies are limited and radiological and histopathological diagnosis of SA is mostly challenging; it could be frequently misinterpreted as ductal carcinoma in situ. Because of these reasons; clinical significance and management of SA is still not fully understand and relative risk of SA is still not well-established. Herein; we presented mammography, ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and microscopic findings in a patient with SA of breast.
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CASE PRESENTATION

A 44-year-old female admitted to our outpatient clinic with a palpable mass on the right breast. There was no known medical history and laboratory findings were normal. On physical examination; a palpable, soft and mobile mass was detected in outer quadrant of right breast. On mammography; encapsulated heterogeneous nodular density containing lucent areas in a size with 40 × 20 mm was observed (Figure 1). Sonographically; a well-circumscribed, heterogeneous hyperechoic lesion in a size with 40 × 20 mm was seen. Microcalcifications were observed within the
lesion. MRI demonstrated encapsulated nodular lesion of approximately 3 cm in diameter with early phase contrast enhancement and type 2 contrast enhancement pattern was seen in the late phase. Diffusion restriction was detected on diffusion-weighted imaging (Figure 2). Clinical and radiological data could not exclude malignancy, a core biopsy was taken with 16 Gauge needle. On histopathological examination, ductal structures are observed between the layer of adipose tissue, hyalinized stroma, and myoepithelial layers. Secretion was noted in the lumen of somducts. In the immunohistochemical study performed, positive staining for calponin was seen (Figure 3). Lesion is confirmed as secretory adenosis with marked cystic degeneration.

**DISCUSSION**

Secretory adenosis is mostly occurred in the salivary gland, skin, and parathyroid, breast is an unusual location [7]. SA affects both young and old women. SA can be seen with fibrocystic changes or can be observed as a pure entity [8]. While some important studies [5, 9] reported that SA is a benign, some studies found that there is a RR increase for developing future malignancy [10]. A research with a larger sample size found that there is an important relative risk increase about 5 times for future breast malignancy [10]. There is also some evidence in the literature that an excised SA may recur [11]. Literature
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**Figure 1.** Craniocaudal (a) and mediolateral oblique (b) mammogram of the right breast has demonstrated an encapsulated heterogeneous nodular density containing lucent areas (arrow).
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**Figure 2.** Axial T2 weighted image demonstrates an encapsulated mass (a), MIP image have revealed a mass with type 1 contrast enhancement pattern (b), DWI (c), and ADC mapping (d) demonstrates diffusion restriction of mass (arrow). MIP = maximum intensity projection, DWI = diffusion weighted imaging, ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient.
data has revealed such various results; since lesion is rarely encountered and there are only few studies and case reports in the literature.

On physical examination a lump can be palpable in the breast, on mammography asymmetric focal density or mass lesion with diffuse or clustered microcalcifications with an irregular shape or spiculated borders, or display asymmetric focal density and focal architectural distortion may be seen, which could support the suspicion of breast cancer [12]. We also observed an encapsulated focal asymmetric density containing radiolucent areas on mammography. The mammographic appearance of lesion was similar to hamartoma. SA revealed that hypoechoic mass with a uncertain boundary or well circumscribed mass with microlobulated contours can be sonographically seen [12, 13]. We observed a well-circumscribed, heterogeneous hyperechoic lesion containing microcalcifications on ultrasound. Yet, no sonographically specific feature is described. It seems almost impossible to obtain accurate diagnosis based on mammographic and sonographic findings. Gity et al. [14] emphasized MRI as a usefull modality in characterizing lesions containing pure adenosis from mixed adenosis lesions. They also revealed that adenosis lesions are frequently false-positively reported as malign, since these lesions can show morphologic or dynamic border line features on MRI. In a vast majority of these adenosis showed oval/lobulated or irregular shapes, showed heterogeneous internal enhancement, all demonstrated a rapid initial rise then wash-out enhancement patterns on dynamic examination with varying enhancement patterns such as seg-mental or linear distribution and clumped internal enhancement [14]. In our patient; we detected a nodular lesion with an contrast enhancement in early phase and type 2 contrast enhancement pattern was seen in the late phase on dynamic MRI, too. A core needle biopsy and histopathological confirmation is necessary for the definitive diagnosis. On microscopic examination; haphazard proliferation of bland glands with apocrine differentiation with apocrine metaplasia in > 50% of adenosis area is seen [15]. On microscopic examination of our case, myoephitelial cells were seen. Treatment options include follow-up or excision of the lesion. The differential diagnosis also includes sclerosing adenosis, fibroadenoma, ductal carcinoma in situ and breast carcinoma.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion; SA is rare and there is only limited number of cases have been reported. Relative risk of developing possible future malignancy and interval to cancer diagnosis as mean time is yet not fully established. It is a great necessity to report these rare SA cases and to perform new researches could provide important informations for understanding SA.
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