The Numerical Investigation of Aerodynamic Structures of Truck and Trailer Combinations
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Abstract

In this study, aerodynamic structures of the heavy vehicle consisting of truck and trailer was investigated with computational fluid mechanics method. The force measurement were performed on the model car and aerodynamic drag coefficient (C_D) were determined numerically in 4 different speeds on the Fluent® program. Numerical analysis of flow were made on the 59 000 - 844 000 Reynolds number. The effect of trailer additional to truck was determined to aerodynamic drag coefficient. The friction and pressure induced distributions were determined of total aerodynamic resistance. The images of flow structure were obtained around the truck trailer. The zones that was forming aerodynamic resistance were determined.
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1. Introduction

The aerodynamics is called as a science that investigates the interaction of moving solid bodies with the air. The aerodynamics vehicles significantly affect the car's performance, fuel consumption, acceleration properties, handling characteristics, environmental pollution, noise and comfort [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Moreover, the cooling system and the heating of interior have a direct relationship with the aerodynamics. Aerodynamic drag coefficient increases proportionally with the square of the speed. [6, 7, 8] This status makes the improving aerodynamics resistance more important issue for heavy vehicles which perform a large part of the transportation out of the city and a lot of miles at high speeds a year. A passenger car with 100 km speed an hour spends 60% of its power to defeat the forces of drag resistance. The car spends 20 %of its power to defeat wheels friction force and the rest of power to overcome other forces that prevent the movement. Considerable savings is achieved from the fuel consumption with the improvement of the aerodynamic properties of the vehicle [9, 10, 11, 13 and 14]. Perzon and Davidson [12] conducted a study on a heavy vehicle to improve aerodynamic properties of it. By rounding the back of the trailer he achieved 4 % improvement. He stated that he made 3% improvements with nose cone and 7% improvements with chassis skirt [12].

The aim of this study is to examine the aerodynamics structures of truck and trailer combinations and determinate of zones where is forming aerodynamic resistance as numerically. To view and investigate the turbulent flow around the truck and trailer by CFD methods.

2. Numerical Analysis Method

In this study, aerodynamics structures of the 1/32 scale truck trailer combination was investigated by computational methods in fluid mechanics (CFD). In CFD analysis as a model car a truck and standard trailer have chosen. The truck is producing and most common finding in Turkey. Prototype truck trailer and 1/32 scale model car are given in Fig.1 and Fig.2.

![Fig. 1. Prorotip vehicle](image1)

![Fig.2. 1/32 scaled vehicle](image2)

2.1. Obtaining Of Drawing Datas

In vehicle aerodynamics studies ensuring the geometric similarity is one of the fundamental similarity conditions. In this study three-dimensional drawing data is obtained by three-dimensional optical scanning device with the using optical scanning method. Three-dimensional optical scanning device is given at Fig.3.

![Fig.3. Three-dimensional optical scanning device](image3)
Blockage ratio is defined as projection area of the front surface of the model, proportional to area of the front surface of wind tunnel test section. In the literature, blockage ratio is recommended to be below the 10 % limit for the blocking effect to be neglected in wind tunnel tests [2]. In this study, blocking ratio is 8.31% for truck and trailer combination. As this value is in accordance with the criteria given in the literature, the effects of blockade have been neglected.

The truck and trailer mold cavity is formed at Fig.6 and Fig.7. Test area measurements is taken as 40 cm x 40 cm x 100 cm in the CFD analysis.
2.2. The assumptions made in drawing data in numerical analysis

In the meshing the complexity of the drawing data, small details of drawing and layers give the mesh error that is smaller than the minimum mesh size and numerical analysis cannot be done. Thus, it is seen in Fig. 8 small pieces closed, without complex and simpler components has been added to model car which are disrupt the flow structure and geometric similarity. The errors has been neglected resulting from this situation in the numerical analysis results.

3. CFD Analysis

Numerical flow analysis was carried out in Fluent® program and computers with features of Intel® CoreTM i5 3570 CPU, 3.40 GHz processor and 8 GB ram. The analyzes are made in. 10m / s, 15m / s, 20m / s and 27 m / s.

3.1. Design modeler

It is seen in Fig.9. The drawing datas of truck and trailer are defined to program in this section and transferred to the meshing section.

3.2. Meshing

1 071 921 triangular volumes cell structures (tedrahedrons) are formed for truck and 1 681 381 for truck trailer combination. The analysis domain boundaries are defined as follows.

- **Outlet**: The surface of the fluid exit is defined as a condition of constant pressure boundary.
- **Wall**: The wall boundary condition is used.
- **The mold cavity of truck and trailer combination**: The wall boundary condition is used.

3.3. Setup

In this study, the convergence criteria is taken as $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for continuity, x-velocity y-velocity and z-velocity. Turbulence intensity is taken as 1%. Front projection area of the truck is calculated as 0.0108 m$^2$ and 0.0132 m$^2$ for truck trailer combination from report-projected area in Fluent® program. Analyzes was carried out using SIMPLE Cell Based Least Squared, RNG k-ε turbulence model,
standard wall functions and standard initialization. The boundary conditions are used which are given Table 1 – 4.

After the numerical analysis drag force and aerodynamic resistance coefficient was calculated. Flow lines have been obtained in the form of color scale vector and streamline. Furthermore, the pressure distribution images were obtained on the truck.

4. Result
4.1. Numerical Analysis Results of Truck

According to numerical results the average aerodynamic resistance coefficient (C_D) is calculated 0.649 at the 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s and 27 m/s speeds. 98.4% pressure induced and 1.6% friction induced of the total aerodynamic drag coefficient were found.

The shape of front geometry and attack angle of windshield affects the pressure induced aerodynamic resistance.

Aerodynamic drag coefficient is expressed with the parameters of drag force F_D, the density of air ρ, the free stream velocity V and as the front projection area of vehicle A.

\[ C_D = \frac{F_D}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A} \] 

(1)
4.2. Numerical Analysis Results Of Truck and Trailer Combination

According to numerical results the average aerodynamic resistance coefficient \( C_D \) is calculated 0.776 at the 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s and 27 m/s speeds. It was found that 94.2% pressure induced, 5.8% friction induced of the total aerodynamic drag coefficient. In this study, the attached trailer to tractor has increased the aerodynamic resistance coefficient \( C_D \) 19.59%.

Table 6. Numerical Analysis Results of Truck and Trailer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (m/s)</th>
<th>Force (N)</th>
<th>( C_D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.075</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.775</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is seen in Fig.19 and Fig.20 the flow speed is too low on front bumper and front glass. Besides a turbulence is formed rear of trailer. The size of the turbulent region commonly affect to total aerodynamic resistance. It was observed that air flow between the truck and trailer. The air speed is very slow on trailer wheels.

As seen in Fig.21, the pressure coefficient very high on front bumper and windscreen. Besides the pressure coefficient is high part of the trailer higher truck.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

In this study the flow structure on the tractor trailer model of, the forces acting on the vehicle values were determined numerically. The numerical flow analysis is made in the range of 59000-844000 Reynolds numbers. The effect of added the trailer to aerodynamic drag coefficient was determined. According to numerical results the average aerodynamic resistance coefficient \( C_D \) is calculated 0.649 of truck at the 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s and 27 m/s speeds. It was found that 98.4% pressure
induced, 1.6% friction induced of the total aerodynamic drag coefficient. The aerodynamic resistance coefficient \( C_D \) is calculated 0.776 of truck and trailer at the same speeds. It was found that 94.2% pressure induced, 5.8% friction induced of the total aerodynamic drag coefficient. The attached trailer to tractor has increased the aerodynamic resistance coefficient \( C_D \) 19.59%. It was observed in the flow of images that the pressure coefficients is very high on the front glass. The windshield angle of attack should be more narrowly in order to reduce aerodynamic drag. It has been shown that aerodynamic improving obtainable with accordance designed spoiler. At streamline image of flow the air speed is very slow on trailer wheels. The aerodynamic improvements can be obtained by closing trailer wheels.
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